## 9<sup>TH</sup> TUTORIAL ON RANDOMIZED ALGORITHMS

Counting matchings.

**1**. Parity of perfect matchings. Show an algorithm that given a bipartite graph G (partites consisting of the same number of vertices) determines if the number of perfect matchings is even or odd.

**2**. Fraction of approximations. We say that  $\hat{x}$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -approximation of x iff

$$(1-\varepsilon)x \le \hat{x} \le (1+\varepsilon)x$$

Show that for  $\varepsilon < 1/2$ , if we have  $\varepsilon$ -approximation  $\hat{s}$  of a number s and  $\varepsilon$ -approximation  $\hat{t}$  of a number t, then  $\hat{s}/\hat{t}$  is an  $4\varepsilon$ -approximation of s/t. (It's sufficient to prove the upper bound as the lower bound is very similar.)

**3**. Product of approximations. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be fixed. Find a suitable choice of  $\overline{\varepsilon}$  such that if we take  $\overline{\varepsilon}$ -approximations  $(\hat{a}_i)_{i=1}^n$  of numbers  $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$ , then  $\prod_{i=1}^n \hat{a}_i$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -approximation of  $\prod_{i=1}^n a_i$ . (It's sufficient to prove the upper bound as the lower bound is very similar.)

4. Main course: Counting matchings. Let  $G = (U \cup V, E)$  be a bipartite graph where |U| = |V| = n and  $\delta(G) > n/2$ . We define:

 $m_k$  = the number of matchings of size k in G, and

 $r_k = m_k/m_{k-1}$  = the fraction of the # of k-matchings to the # of k-1-matchings. Let  $\alpha \ge 1$  be a real number such that  $1/\alpha \le r_k \le \alpha$ ; for bipartite graphs with  $\delta(G) > n/2$ , it holds that  $\alpha \le n^2$ . Pick  $N = n^7 \alpha$  elements from  $M_k \cup M_{k-1}$  independently uniformly at random (approximately uniform generation covered in the lecture). Set  $\hat{r}_k$  to the fraction of observed k-matchings to (k-1)-matchings. Show that

$$(1-1/n^3) r_k \le \hat{r_k} \le (1+1/n^3) r_k$$

with probability at least  $1 - \exp(-n)$ . (Hint: use the Estimator theorem from the lecture.)

Then show why accurate approximations of  $r_k$ 's are useful for estimating the number of perfect matchings.

**5**. Bonus: polynomial-time interactive protocol for permanent. Show that permanent is in IP. We say that a language  $L \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$  is in IP if

- The verifier V gets a word  $w \in \{0,1\}^*$ , works in polynomial time in |w| and can use random bits.
- The verifier V can communicate with the prover P (which is computationally unbounded).
- We say that  $L \in IP$  if there is a prover P and a verifier V such that:
  - Completeness: for each  $w \in L$  we have

 $\Pr[V(w) \text{ accepts the proof of } P] \ge 2/3$ 

– Soundness: for any  $x \notin L$  and any prover Q we have

 $\Pr[V(x) \text{ accepts the proof of } Q] \leq 1/3$ 

Our goal is to show that the decision problem whether or not perm(A) = k for a given matrix  $A \in \{0, 1\}^{n \times n}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  is in IP.