## Probabilistic techniques - tutorials

Classwork 5 – Lovász local lemma and Chernoff bound

1. Prove that, for every integer d > 1, there is a finite c(d) such that the edges of any bipartite graph with maximum degree d in which every cycle has at least c(d) edges can be colored by d + 1 colors so that there are no two adjacent edges with the same color and there is no two-colored cycle.

## Solution:

- (a) We first prove that G has an edge-coloring  $\alpha$  by d colors. Observe that G can be embedded to a d-regular bipartite graph H. By Hall's theorem, H has a perfect matching. We can remove the perfect matching to get a d-1-regular graph and iterate.
- (b) Observe that each edge e is at most in d-1 two-colored cycles in  $\alpha$  (determined by the color  $\alpha(e)$  and another color).
- (c) We break each two-colored cycle C into disjoint paths  $P_1^C, \ldots, P_k^C$  of length  $[c(d), 2 \cdot c(d))$  (if  $|C| < 2 \cdot c(d)$ , we have just one path). We call these paths *fragments*. We fix this partition for the rest of the proof.
- (d) We uniformly select an edge from each fragment  $P_i^C$  at random, independently for each path, and color this edge with the (d + 1)-th color. We denote the new coloring by  $\beta$ .
- (e) Let  $B_e$  be the *bad* event that " $\beta(e) = d + 1$  and either there is an edge e' adjacent to e with  $\beta(e') = d + 1$  or e'' joined by an edge to e with  $\beta(e'') = d + 1$ ." In other words " $\beta(e) = d + 1$  and the color d + 1 also appears in the (open) second edge-neighborhood of e."
- (f) Observe that if neither of the events  $B_e$  occurs, the coloring  $\beta$  is as required. The first part with the edge e' implies that  $\beta$  is a proper edge-coloring. The part with the edge e'' implies that there are no two-colored cycles. That is, each two-colored cycle in  $\alpha$  now has an edge of color d+1 by construction. Thus, the only possible two-color cycles has d+1 as one of the colors. However, if an edge e gets the color d+1 then by the absence of d+1 in the second neighborhood, we cannot get a two-color cycle.
- (g) We want to apply Lovász Local Lemma to show  $\Pr[\bigcap_e \overline{B}_e] > 0$ . To do so, we will estimate  $\Pr[B_e]$  and compute the dependency degree among the events  $\{B_e : e \in E(G)\}$ .
- (h) Let us denote by  $N_2(e)$  the open second edge-neighborhood of e, by  $P_e$  the set of fragments containing the edge e. We have  $|P_e| \leq d-1$  from above. Moreover, denote by  $A_{e,P}$  the event that  $\beta(e) = d+1$  by the fragment  $P \in P_e$ , and by  $A_e$  the event that  $\beta(e) = d+1$ . We have

$$\Pr[B_e] = \Pr[\bigcup_{f \in N_2(e)} A_e \cap A_f] = \Pr[\bigcup_{\substack{f \in N_2(e) \\ Q \in P_f}} \bigcup_{\substack{P \in P_e \\ Q \in P_f}} A_{e,P} \cap A_{f,Q}].$$

If the fragments P and Q are different, the events  $A_{e,P}$  and  $A_{f,Q}$  are independent. Otherwise, the probability of their intersection is 0 (assuming  $e \neq f$ , which we have here). Thus, we have  $\Pr[A_{e,P} \cap A_{e,Q}] \leq \Pr[A_{e,P}] \cdot \Pr[A_{e,Q}]$  in both cases.

$$\Pr[B_e] = \Pr[\bigcup_{f \in N_2(e)} \bigcup_{\substack{P \in P_e \\ Q \in P_f}} A_{e,P} \cap A_{f,Q}] \le \sum_{f \in N_2(e)} \sum_{\substack{P \in P_e \\ Q \in P_f}} \Pr[A_{e,P}] \cdot \Pr[A_{e,Q}]$$
$$\le 2((d-1)^2 + d - 1) \cdot (d-1)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{c(d)^2} \le \frac{4d^4}{c(d)^2}.$$

(i) To compute the degree, observe that the events  $B_e$  and  $B_f$  are independent if there are no two edges  $e' \in N_2(e)$  and  $f' \in N_2(f)$  that lie in the same fragment. Thus, the degree is at most

$$\underbrace{2((d-1)^2 + d - 1)}_{(i)} \cdot \underbrace{d \cdot 2c(d)}_{(ii)} \cdot \underbrace{2((d-1)^2 + d - 1)}_{(iii)} \le 32d^5c(d),$$

where (i) counts the choices of e', (ii) counts the choices of f' sharing a fragment with e' (there is at most d fragments, each of which of length at most 2c(d)), and (iii) counts the choices of f such that  $f \in N_2(f')$ , or equivalently  $f' \in N_2(f)$ .

- (j) If  $e \cdot \frac{4d^4}{c(d)^2} \cdot 32d^5c(d) = e \frac{128d^9}{c(d)} \le 1$ , we are done.
- 2. Let m and k be two positive integers satisfying

$$e(m(m-1)+1)k(1-\frac{1}{k})^m \le 1.$$

Then, for any set S of m real numbers, there is a k-coloring of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that each translation x + S, for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , is multicolored. That is c(x + S) = [k]. Solution:

- (a) We first show the claim for x in a finite subset  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .
- (b) Set  $Y = \bigcup_{x \in X} (x + S)$  and let  $c : Y \to \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  be a random k-coloring of Y obtained by choosing for each  $y \in Y$  the color c(y) uniformly and independently.
- (c) For each  $x \in X$ , let  $A_x$  be the event in which x + S is not multicolored (with respect to c). Clearly,  $\Pr[A_x] = \Pr[\bigcup_i \text{ missing color } i] \le k(1-1/k)^m$ , because the probability of the event missing color i is  $(1-1/k)^m$ .
- (d) Moreover, each event  $A_x$  is mutually independent of all the other events  $A_x$  but those for which  $(x + S) \cap (x + S) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (e) There are at most m(m-1) such events, because there is a unique x such that for  $s, s' \in S, x + s = s'$ . Then  $e(m(m-1) + 1)k(1 1/k)^m \leq 1$  and we can apply LLL.
- (f) We can now prove the existence of a coloring of the set of all reals with the desired properties, by a standard compactness argument. Since the discrete space with k points is (trivially) compact, Tikhonov's Theorem implies that an arbitrary product of such spaces is compact. In particular, the space of all functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ , with the usual product topology, is compact. That is, the open set are those that have finite number of coordinates been not the whole space.
- (g) In this space, for every fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the set  $C_x$  of all colorings c, such that x + S is multicolored, is closed. Because we are specifying the value on a finite set of points.
- (h) As we proved above, the intersection of any finite number of sets  $C_x$  is nonempty. It thus follows, by compactness, that the intersection of all sets  $C_x$  is nonempty. Any coloring in this intersection has the properties we want.
- 3. Let  $\sigma$  be a uniformly random permutation of  $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Denote  $X = |\{i \in [n] : (\forall j < i)\sigma(j) < \sigma(i)\}|$ . Prove that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  it holds that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \Pr[(1 - \epsilon)H_n < X < (1 + \epsilon)H_n] = 1,$$

where  $H_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i}$ . Solution:

(a) Set  $X_i = \mathbb{1}_{(\forall j < i)\sigma(j) < \sigma(i)}$  then  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ .

(b) On the other hand

$$E[X_i] = \Pr[\forall j < i : \sigma(j) < \sigma(i)] = (i-1)! \frac{n!}{i!} \frac{1}{n!} = \frac{1}{i!}$$

we can permute all the elements greater than i first, then the position of i is completely determined as it has to be the greatest. Finally we have to permute the elementes strickly lower than i, and we have i - 1 of these. Then  $E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[X_i] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1/i = H_n$ .

- (c) Let check that  $X_i$  are independent. Consider a subset  $I = \{i_1 < \dots < i_k\} \subset [n]$ , we want to see that  $\Pr[\bigcap_{i \in I} X_i = 1] = \prod_{i \in I} \Pr[X_i = 1]$ . This is similar to the previous argument.  $\Pr[\bigcap_{i \in I} X_i = 1]$  first order all the greater elements than  $i_k$ ,  $n!/i_k!$ , then order all the elements between  $i_{k-1} < i_k$ ,  $(i_k 1)!/i_{k-1}!$  and so on.
- (d) Then by Chernoff  $\Pr[|X H_n| \ge \epsilon H_n] < 2e^{-\frac{\epsilon^2 H_n}{3}}$ , which goes to 0 since  $H_n$  goes to  $\infty$ .