

# Stationary distributions of finite Markov chains

Recall that a finite Markov chain with  $n$  states is represented by a non-negative matrix  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with column sums equal to 1. We represent a distribution on the states by a non-negative vector  $\mathbf{p}$  with the sum of entries equal to 1. If  $\mathbf{p}$  is a distribution on the  $n$  states, then  $P\mathbf{p}$  is the distribution after a step of the Markov chain and  $P^k\mathbf{p}$  is the state after  $k$  steps.

**Definition 1.** A distribution  $\boldsymbol{\pi} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is called a stationary distribution of a Markov chain  $P$  if  $P\boldsymbol{\pi} = \boldsymbol{\pi}$ .

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that  $P$  is an irreducible and aperiodic Markov chain. Then there exists a unique stationary distribution  $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ . Moreover, for every  $i, j$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_{i,j}^t$  exists and is equal to  $\pi_i$ .

**Lemma 3.** For  $P$  aperiodic and irreducible, there exist  $k \geq 1$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $i, j$ ,  $P_{i,j}^k > \delta$ .

*Proof.* For a given  $i$ , let  $A$  be the set of all  $t \geq 1$  such that  $P_{i,i}^t > 0$ . The set  $A$  is nonempty as  $P$  is irreducible and it is closed under addition as  $P_{i,i}^{t+t'} \geq P_{i,i}^t P_{i,i}^{t'}$ . Furthermore, the aperiodicity of  $P$  implies that  $\gcd(A) = 1$ , where  $\gcd(A)$  is the greatest common divisor of (all the numbers in)  $A$ .

An easy number-theoretic fact is that such an  $A$  contains all but finitely many natural numbers. Let  $a \in A$  be arbitrary. Since  $\gcd(A) = 1$ , we can express 1 as a linear combination of elements of  $A$  with integer coefficients (some may be negative). This implies that for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can express  $\alpha a + 1$  as a linear combination of elements of  $A$  with non-negative integer coefficients, simply by adding term  $a \cdot b$  for all  $b \in A$  with a negative coefficient sufficiently many times. Now for any  $\beta \geq \alpha a$  and  $\gamma = 0, \dots, a - 1$ , we can express  $\beta a + \gamma$  as  $\gamma$  times the combination for  $\alpha a + 1$  plus some multiple of  $a$ . Thus for any  $t \geq \beta a$ , we have  $t \in A$ .

Using the fact above for all  $i$ , we can find  $t_0$  such that for all  $i$  and all  $t \geq t_0$ ,  $P_{i,i}^t > 0$ . Now we claim that for  $k = t_0 + n$ , for all  $i, j$ ,  $P_{i,j}^k > 0$ . Since  $P$  is irreducible, there exist  $\ell \leq n$  such that  $P_{i,j}^\ell > 0$ : consider the shortest path in the underlying graph from state  $j$  to  $i$ . Then  $P_{i,j}^k \geq P_{i,i}^{k-\ell} P_{i,j}^\ell > 0$  as  $k - \ell \geq t_0$ .

Finally, if for  $P_{i,j}^k > 0$  for all  $i, j$ , then there also exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $P_{i,j}^k > \delta$  for all  $i, j$ , as the matrix has finitely many elements.  $\square$

We will work with the  $l_1$ -norm of vectors, which is just a sum of the absolute values of the entries, i.e.,  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$ . We will also use the standard decomposition of a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  to its positive and negative parts  $\mathbf{x}^+$  and  $\mathbf{x}^-$  defined by  $x_i^+ = \max\{x_i, 0\}$  and  $x_i^- = \max\{-x_i, 0\}$ . Then  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^+ - \mathbf{x}^-$ ,  $\mathbf{x}^+$  and  $\mathbf{x}^-$  have disjoint support and  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = \|\mathbf{x}^+\|_1 + \|\mathbf{x}^-\|_1$ .

**Lemma 4.** Suppose that vectors  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are non-negative and moreover all entries are at least  $\alpha$ . Then  $\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\|_1 \leq \|\mathbf{v}\|_1 + \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 - 2\alpha n$ .

*Proof.* Direct calculation using the fact that for each coordinate  $|v_i - w_i| = \max\{v_i, w_i\} - \min\{v_i, w_i\} = v_i + w_i - 2\min\{v_i, w_i\} \leq v_i + w_i - 2\alpha$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** Let  $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a matrix with all entries at least  $\delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$  and column sums equal to 1. Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be decomposed to  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^+ - \mathbf{x}^-$  as described above. Then

- (i)  $\|D\mathbf{x}\|_1 \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 - 2\delta n \min\{\|\mathbf{x}^+\|_1, \|\mathbf{x}^-\|_1\}$ .
- (ii) If  $\mathbf{x}$  has both positive and negative entries, then  $D\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}$ .
- (iii) If  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$  then  $\|D\mathbf{x}\|_1 \leq (1 - \delta n)\|\mathbf{x}\|_1$ .

*Proof.* (i): Consider the vectors  $\mathbf{v} = D\mathbf{x}^+$  and  $\mathbf{w} = D\mathbf{x}^-$ ; both  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  are non-negative as  $D$ ,  $\mathbf{x}^+$ , and  $\mathbf{x}^-$  are all non-negative. Since  $D$  has the column sums equal to 1 and  $\mathbf{x}^+$ ,  $\mathbf{x}^-$  are non-negative,  $\|\mathbf{v}\|_1 = \|\mathbf{x}^+\|_1$  and  $\|\mathbf{w}\|_1 = \|\mathbf{x}^-\|_1$ , thus  $\|\mathbf{v}\|_1 + \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 = \|\mathbf{x}\|_1$ . Since  $D$  has all the entries at least  $\delta > 0$  and  $x_j^+ \geq 0$ , we have  $v_i \geq \delta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^+ = \delta \|\mathbf{x}^+\|_1$ . Similarly  $w_i \geq \delta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^- = \delta \|\mathbf{x}^-\|_1$ . Now we use Lemma 4 with  $\alpha = \delta \min\{\|\mathbf{x}^+\|_1, \|\mathbf{x}^-\|_1\}$  to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D\mathbf{x}\|_1 &= \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\|_1 \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{v}\|_1 + \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 - 2\delta n \min\{\|\mathbf{x}^+\|_1, \|\mathbf{x}^-\|_1\} = \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 - 2\delta n \min\{\|\mathbf{x}^+\|_1, \|\mathbf{x}^-\|_1\}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii): The assumption implies  $\min\{\|\mathbf{x}^+\|_1, \|\mathbf{x}^-\|_1\} > 0$ . Then (i) implies  $\|D\mathbf{x}\|_1 < \|\mathbf{x}\|_1$  and  $D\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}$  follows.

(iii): The assumption implies  $\|\mathbf{x}^+\|_1 = \|\mathbf{x}^-\|_1 = \|\mathbf{x}\|_1/2$ . Thus (i) implies  $\|D\mathbf{x}\|_1 \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 - \delta n \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = (1 - \delta n)\|\mathbf{x}\|_1$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Fix  $k$  and  $\delta$  as in Lemma 3.

The system of equations  $\mathbf{x} = P\mathbf{x}$  is homogeneous and its rank is at most  $n - 1$ : the column sums of  $P$  are equal to 1, so summing all the inequalities yields a trivial equality  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ . Thus there exists a non-trivial solution  $\mathbf{x}$ .

We claim that any such  $\mathbf{x}$  has all entries non-negative or all the entries non-positive. Otherwise Lemma 5(ii) for  $D = P^k$  implies  $P^k\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}$ , which is a contradiction with  $P\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$ .

Since  $\mathbf{x}$  is non-trivial and has no entries with opposite signs, a scaled vector  $\boldsymbol{\pi} = \mathbf{x}/(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$  is a distribution. Since  $\boldsymbol{\pi} = P\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\pi}$  is a stationary distribution. Furthermore, it is unique: For any stationary distribution  $\boldsymbol{\pi}' \neq \boldsymbol{\pi}$ , the vector  $\mathbf{y} = \boldsymbol{\pi} - \boldsymbol{\pi}'$  would be a non-trivial solution of the system of equations  $\mathbf{y} = P\mathbf{y}$  with both positive and negative entries and we have already excluded the existence of such a solution.

Now consider an arbitrary initial distribution  $\mathbf{p}$ . We prove that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P^t\mathbf{p} = \boldsymbol{\pi}$ . Considering  $\mathbf{p}$  equal to the  $j$ th standard basis vector, this implies that for each  $i, j$ ,  $P_{i,j}^t$  converges to  $\pi_i$ .

Consider an arbitrary distribution  $\mathbf{q}$ . For  $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , consider the vectors  $\mathbf{v}^{(s)} = P^{sk}\mathbf{q} - \boldsymbol{\pi}$ . We first prove that  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{v}^{(s)} = \mathbf{0}$ . Since  $\boldsymbol{\pi}$  is a stationary distribution, we have  $\mathbf{v}^{(s)} = P^{sk}\mathbf{q} - \boldsymbol{\pi} = P^{sk}(\mathbf{q} - \boldsymbol{\pi})$  and  $\mathbf{v}^{(s+1)} = P^k\mathbf{v}^{(s)}$ . Note that the coordinates of each of  $\mathbf{v}^{(s)}$  sum to 0. Using also the fact that  $P_{i,j}^k > \delta$  by Lemma 3, we can apply Lemma 5(iii) to obtain

$$\|\mathbf{v}^{(s+1)}\|_1 = \|P^k\mathbf{v}^{(s)}\|_1 \leq (1 - \delta n)\|\mathbf{v}^{(s)}\|_1.$$

Thus

$$\|\mathbf{v}^{(s)}\|_1 \leq (1 - \delta n)^s \|\mathbf{v}^{(0)}\|_1$$

which converges to 0. Thus  $\mathbf{v}^{(s)}$  converges to  $\mathbf{0}$  and  $P^{sk}\mathbf{q}$  converges to  $\boldsymbol{\pi}$  for  $s \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now consider  $\mathbf{q} = P^\ell\mathbf{p}$  for  $\ell = 0, \dots, k - 1$ . The previous paragraph implies that for each  $\ell$ , the sequence  $P^{sk}\mathbf{q} = P^{sk+\ell}\mathbf{p}$  converges to  $\boldsymbol{\pi}$  for  $s \rightarrow \infty$ . This implies that also  $P^t\mathbf{p}$  converges to  $\boldsymbol{\pi}$  for  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$