

Number of perfect matchings

Zdeněk Dvořák

October 12, 2020

1 Number of matchings in bipartite graphs

Consider a bipartite graph G with parts $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ and $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$. The *bipartite adjacency matrix* of G is the $n \times n$ matrix C such that $C_{i,j} = 1$ if $a_i b_j \in E(G)$ and $C_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise. Note that $a_1 b_{\pi(1)}, a_2 b_{\pi(2)}, \dots, a_n b_{\pi(n)}$ is a perfect matching in G if and only if $\pi(1), \dots, \pi(n)$ are pairwise different (i.e., π is a permutation of $\{1, \dots, n\}$) and $C_{1,\pi(1)} = \dots = C_{n,\pi(n)} = 1$.

The *permanent* of the $n \times n$ matrix C is

$$\text{per}(C) = \sum_{\pi \text{ permutation}} \prod_{i=1}^n c_{i,\pi(i)}.$$

Observation 1. *If G is a bipartite graph with bipartite adjacency matrix C , then the number of perfect matchings in G is $\text{per}(C)$.*

Exercise 2. *Show that if G is a bipartite graph with parts of size n and $G \neq K_{n,n}$, then G has at most $n! - (n-1)!$ perfect matchings. Find such a graph with exactly $n! - (n-1)!$ perfect matchings.*

The definition of permanent seems quite similar to the definition of the *determinant*,

$$\det(C) = \sum_{\pi \text{ permutation}} \text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^n c_{i,\pi(i)},$$

where $\text{sgn}(\pi) \in \{-1, 1\}$ is the *sign* of the permutation π . However, while you can determine the permanent of a matrix in polynomial time, this is likely not possible for the permanent (even the permanent of a $\{0, 1\}$ -matrix). The problem of determining the permanent of a $\{0, 1\}$ -matrix (or equivalently, the number of perfect matchings in a bipartite graph) is #P-complete, and solving it in polynomial time would imply $\text{P} = \text{NP}$.

Exercise 3. Show you can determine in polynomial time whether a bipartite graph has an even or an odd number of perfect matchings. Hint: compare the definitions of the permanent and the determinant.

Thus, in general, the best we can hope for is obtaining approximations or bounds for the number of perfect matchings.

Theorem 4 (Bregman-Cinc inequality). Let C be an $n \times n$ $\{0, 1\}$ -matrix, and let $r_i = \sum_{j=1}^n C_{i,j}$ denote the sum of the i -th row of C . Then

$$\text{per}(C) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n (r_i!)^{1/r_i}.$$

We will not prove this theorem. Using the upper bound $d! \leq ed(d/e)^d$, we have the following corollary (note that $\sqrt[d]{ed} \rightarrow 1$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$).

Corollary 5. A d -regular bipartite graph with parts of size n has at most $(d!)^{n/d} \leq (\sqrt[d]{ed} \cdot d/e)^n$ perfect matchings.

Exercise 6. For any integer $d \geq 1$ and any integer n divisible by d , find a d -regular bipartite graph with parts of size n that has exactly $(d!)^{n/d}$ perfect matchings.

Exercise 7. Suppose G is a bipartite graph with both parts of size n and suppose that the average degree d of G is an integer. Show that G has at most $(d!)^{n/d}$ perfect matchings.

For a lower bound, we use another well-known inequality, originally conjectured by Van der Waerden. A matrix is *bistochastic* if it is non-negative the sum of each row and each column is equal to 1.

Theorem 8. If C is an $n \times n$ bistochastic matrix, then $\text{per}(C) \geq \frac{n!}{n^n}$.

Exercise 9. Find an $n \times n$ bistochastic matrix such that $\text{per}(C) = \frac{n!}{n^n}$.

We are not going to prove Theorem 8; let us just note the following consequence.

Corollary 10. A d -regular bipartite graph G with parts of size n has at least $(d/e)^n$ perfect matchings.

Proof. Let C be the bipartite adjacency matrix of G . Since G is d -regular, the matrix C/d is bistochastic. Hence, using the bound $n! \geq (n/e)^n$, we have

$$\text{per}(C) = d^n \text{per}(C/d) \geq \frac{n! d^n}{n^n} \geq (d/e)^n.$$

□

Note that for non-bipartite graphs, the situation is much more complicated; the fact that 3-regular 2-edge-connected graphs have an exponential number of perfect matchings was proved only recently, and the right magnitude of the exponential is unknown.

2 Counting the matchings in planar graphs

While it is hard to count a number of matchings in a general graph, there are polynomial-time algorithms for some special graph classes. Very interestingly, there is such an algorithm for planar graphs.

First, let us introduce some more general definitions. An *even 2-factor* F on vertex set V of even size is a graph whose components are even cycles; we allow cycles of length two. Let $c(F)$ be the number of components of F , and let us define $\text{sgn}(F) = (-1)^{|V|-c(F)}$. Let also $c_2(F)$ denote the number of 2-cycles of F . Note that for any two matchings M_1 and M_2 with vertex set V , the union $M_1 + M_2$ of M_1 and M_2 is an even 2-factor (with the cycles of length two corresponding to the edges belonging to both M_1 and M_2). Let $M(F)$ be the set of all pairs (M_1, M_2) of matchings such that $F = M_1 + M_2$.

Observation 11. *For any even 2-factor F , we have $|M(F)| = 2^{c(F)-c_2(F)}$.*

A permutation π is *even-cycled* if each of the cycles of π has even length. For an even 2-factor F , let $\Pi(F)$ be the set of even-cycled permutations π such that F is obtained by forgetting the orientation in the cycles of π . Note that each such permutation π satisfies $\text{sgn}(\pi) = \text{sgn}(F)$.

Observation 12. *For any even 2-factor F , we have $|\Pi(F)| = 2^{c(F)-c_2(F)}$.*

For distinct integers x and y , let $\lambda(x, y) = 1$ if $x < y$ and $\lambda(x, y) = -1$ if $y < x$. Let us now define a sign of a matching M with vertex set $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$ as follows. Let σ be an arbitrary permutation of V such that the edges of M are $\sigma(1)\sigma(2), \sigma(3)\sigma(4), \dots$; we let

$$\text{sgn}(M) = \text{sgn}(\sigma) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} \lambda(\sigma(2i-1), \sigma(2i)).$$

Observation 13. *The sign of M does not depend on the choice of σ .*

Proof. Any two possible choices of σ can be obtained from one another by a sequence of the following operations:

- swapping $\sigma(2i-1)$ and $\sigma(2i)$ for some i

- swapping $\sigma(2i-1)$ with $\sigma(2j-1)$ and $\sigma(2i)$ with $\sigma(2j)$ for some $i \neq j$.

Recall that swapping two elements of a permutation reverses the sign of the permutation. Hence, the first operation reverses $\text{sgn}(\sigma)$, but also reverses $\lambda(\sigma(2i-1), \sigma(2i))$. The second operation swaps the elements in a permutation twice, and thus it does not change $\text{sgn}(\sigma)$. In either case, $\sigma(M)$ is unaffected. \square

Now, let us relate the signs of matchings and even 2-factors. For an even cycle $C = v_1v_2 \dots v_t$ whose vertices are integers, let us define $\lambda(C) = -1$ if the set D of indices i such that $v_i > v_{i+1}$ has odd size and 1 otherwise; we take the indices cyclically, i.e., by v_{t+1} we mean v_1 . Note that since C is even, it does not matter in which direction we traverse C , as reversing the order replaces D by $V(C) \setminus D$. For an even 2-factor F on vertex set $\{1, \dots, n\}$, we define $\lambda(F)$ to be the product of $\lambda(C)$ over the cycles of F .

Lemma 14. *For any matchings M_1 and M_2 on the vertex set $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have $\text{sgn}(M_1 + M_2) = \text{sgn}(M_1)\text{sgn}(M_2)\lambda(M_1 + M_2)$.*

Proof. Let $\pi \in \Pi(M_1 + M_2)$ be any permutation with the same cycles as $M_1 + M_2$. Consider any cycle $C = v_1v_2 \dots v_{2t}$ of π . Let $a(C)$ be the sequence v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2t} and $b(C)$ the sequence $v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{2t}, v_1$. If the cycles of π are C_1, \dots, C_k , let a be the concatenation of the sequences $a(C_1), \dots, a(C_k)$ and b the concatenation of the sequences $b(C_1), \dots, b(C_k)$. Let σ_1 be the permutation mapping i to the i -th element of a , and σ_2 the permutation mapping i to the i -th element of b . Observe that $\pi = \sigma_1^{-1} \circ \sigma_2$, and thus $\text{sgn}(M_1 + M_2) = \text{sgn}(\pi) = \text{sgn}(\sigma_1)\text{sgn}(\sigma_2)$.

Moreover, by the definition we have

$$\text{sgn}(M_k) = \text{sgn}(\sigma_k) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda(\sigma_k(2i-1), \sigma_k(2i))$$

for $k \in \{1, 2\}$, and

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda(\sigma_1(2i-1), \sigma_1(2i))\lambda(\sigma_2(2i-1), \sigma_2(2i)) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda(i, \pi(i)) = \lambda(M_1 + M_2).$$

Combining these equalities, we obtain $\text{sgn}(M_1 + M_2) = \text{sgn}(M_1)\text{sgn}(M_2)\lambda(M_1 + M_2)$. \square

Let G be a graph and let $b : E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an assignment of values to edges. The *Pfaffian* is defined as follows.

$$\text{Pf}(G, b) = \sum_{M \text{ perfect matching of } G} \text{sgn}(M) \prod_{e \in E(M)} b(e).$$

Suppose that $V(G) = \{1, \dots, n\}$. The *antisymmetric adjacency matrix* of (G, b) is the matrix C such that $C_{u,v} = b(uv)$ if $uv \in E(G)$ and $u < v$, $C_{u,v} = -b(uv)$ if $uv \in E(G)$ and $u > v$, and $C_{u,v} = 0$ otherwise. Using the following result, we can (up to sign) compute the Pfaffian.

Lemma 15. *Let G be a graph with vertex set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ for n even and let $b : E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an assignment of values to edges. Let C be the antisymmetric adjacency matrix of (G, b) . Then $\text{Pf}^2(G, b) = \det(C)$.*

Proof. Consider a term $\text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^n C_{i,\pi(i)}$ appearing in the definition of the determinant. Suppose π contains an odd cycle and π' is obtained from π by reversing this odd cycle. Clearly $\text{sgn}(\pi') = -\text{sgn}(\pi)$, and since the cycle is odd and the matrix C is antisymmetric, we have

$$\text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^n C_{i,\pi(i)} = -\text{sgn}(\pi') \prod_{i=1}^n C_{i,\pi'(i)}.$$

Hence, these two terms cancel each other. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \det(C) &= \sum_{\pi \text{ even-cycled}} \text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^n C_{i,\pi(i)} \\ &= \sum_{F \subseteq G \text{ even 2-factor}} 2^{c(F)-c_2(F)} \text{sgn}(F) \lambda(F) \prod_{e \in E(F)} b(e) \\ &= \sum_{M_1, M_2 \subseteq G \text{ perfect matchings}} \text{sgn}(M_1) \text{sgn}(M_2) \prod_{e \in E(M_1)} b(e) \prod_{e \in E(M_2)} b(e) \\ &= \left(\sum_{M \subseteq G \text{ perfect matching}} \text{sgn}(M) \prod_{e \in E(M)} b(e) \right)^2 = \text{Pf}^2(G, b). \end{aligned}$$

□

A *Pfaffian function* for a graph G with vertex set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ is a function $b : E(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ such that for every perfect matching M of G , $\text{sgn}(M) \cdot \prod_{e \in E(M)} b(e)$ is the same.

Exercise 16. *Every tree has at most one perfect matching, and thus it also has a Pfaffian function.*

Observation 17. *If there exists a Pfaffian function b for the graph G , then G has precisely $|\text{Pf}(G, b)|$ perfect matchings.*

As we can determine the absolute value of the Pfaffian in polynomial time using Lemma 15, if we can find a Pfaffian function for G , then we can also determine the number of perfect matchings.

Theorem 18 (Kasteleyn). *For a plane graph G with vertex set $\{1, \dots, n\}$, a Pfaffian function can be found in polynomial time.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume G is connected. For an internal face f , let $v_1 v_2 \dots v_t$ be the vertices encountered when traversing the boundary of f in the clockwise order, and let us define $B(f) = \{(v_1, v_2), \dots, (v_{t-1}, v_t), (v_t, v_1)\}$. We choose the function b so that for every internal face f ,

(\star) the number of pairs $(u, v) \in B(f)$ such that $b(uv) \neq \lambda(u, v)$ is odd.

We can do this by induction: If G is a tree, then any choice of b works. Otherwise, there exists an edge e separating the outer face of G from some internal face f . We apply the induction hypothesis to obtain the restriction of b to $G - e$. Then we select $b(e) \in \{-1, 1\}$ so that (\star) holds for f .

Let us argue b is a Pfaffian function for G . Consider any perfect matchings M_1 and M_2 of G and the even 2-factor $F = M_1 + M_2$. Let $C = u_1 u_2 \dots u_t$ be a cycle of F traversed in the clockwise order and let $\text{Int}(C)$ denote the set of faces of G drawn inside C . Let m be the number of edges of G drawn strictly inside C . Then (with $u_{t+1} = u_1$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(C) \prod_{e \in E(C)} b(e) &= \prod_{i=1}^t \lambda(u_i, u_{i+1}) b(u_i u_{i+1}) \\ &= (-1)^m \prod_{f \in \text{Int}(C)} \prod_{(u,v) \in B(f)} \lambda(u, v) b(uv) \\ &= (-1)^m \prod_{f \in \text{Int}(C)} (-1) = -1, \end{aligned}$$

where

- the second equality holds since for each edge uv drawn strictly inside C , the contributions $\lambda(u, v)b(uv)$ and $\lambda(v, u)b(uv)$ from the two incident faces combine to -1 ,
- the third equality holds by (\star), and
- the final one holds since the number n_C of vertices drawn inside C is even (as they are covered by the cycles of the even 2-factor F), the Euler's formula gives $n_c = (m + |C|) + 2 - (|\text{Int}(C)| + 1)$, and thus m and $|\text{Int}(C)|$ have the opposite parity.

Using Lemma 14, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\operatorname{sgn}(M_1) \cdot \prod_{e \in E(M_1)} b(e) \right) \cdot \left(\operatorname{sgn}(M_2) \cdot \prod_{e \in E(M_2)} b(e) \right) &= \operatorname{sgn}(M_1) \operatorname{sgn}(M_2) \prod_{e \in E(F)} b(e) \\
 &= \lambda(F) \operatorname{sgn}(F) \prod_{e \in E(F)} b(e) \\
 &= \prod_{C \text{ cycle of } F} \left((-1) \cdot \lambda(C) \prod_{e \in E(C)} b(e) \right) = 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for every perfect matching M of G , $\operatorname{sgn}(M) \cdot \prod_{e \in E(M)} b(e)$ is the same, and thus b is a Pfaffian function for G . \square

Exercise 19. *Choose your favourite planar graph with an even number of vertices and compute the number of its perfect matchings using the described method.*