Linear Algebra 2

Lecture #16

The orthogonal complement of a subspace. Orthogonal projection onto a subspace

Irena Penev

March 19, 2025

• This lecture has two parts:

- This lecture has two parts:
  - The orthogonal complement of a subspace

- This lecture has two parts:
  - The orthogonal complement of a subspace
  - Orthogonal projection onto a subspace

# • The orthogonal complement of a subspace

# The orthogonal complement of a subspace

#### Definition

Let *V* be a real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . For a set  $A \subseteq V$ ,<sup>*a*</sup> the *orthogonal complement* of *A*, denoted by  $A^{\perp}$ , is the set of all vectors in *V* that are orthogonal to *A*.

<sup>a</sup>Here, A may or may not be a subspace of V.

• Thus, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{A}^{\perp} &= \{ \mathbf{v} \in V \mid \mathbf{v} \perp A \} \\ &= \{ \mathbf{v} \in V \mid \mathbf{v} \perp \mathbf{a} \ \forall \mathbf{a} \in A \} \\ &= \{ \mathbf{v} \in V \mid \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{a} \rangle = 0 \ \forall \mathbf{a} \in A \} \end{aligned}$$

Let V be a real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Let  $A, B \subseteq V$ . Then

- (a)  $A^{\perp}$  is a subspace of  $V;^{a}$
- () if  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $A^{\perp} \supseteq B^{\perp}$ .

<sup>a</sup>Note that it is possible that  $A = \emptyset$ . In this case, we simply get that  $A^{\perp} = V$ . This is because every vector in V is (vacuously) orthogonal to every vector in the empty set.

Proof (outline).

Let V be a real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Let  $A, B \subseteq V$ . Then

- (a)  $A^{\perp}$  is a subspace of  $V;^a$
- (a) if  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $A^{\perp} \supseteq B^{\perp}$ .

<sup>a</sup>Note that it is possible that  $A = \emptyset$ . In this case, we simply get that  $A^{\perp} = V$ . This is because every vector in V is (vacuously) orthogonal to every vector in the empty set.

*Proof (outline).* For (a), we simply check that  $A^{\perp}$  contains **0** and is closed under vector addition and scalar multiplication (details: Lecture Notes).

Let V be a real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Let  $A, B \subseteq V$ . Then

- (a)  $A^{\perp}$  is a subspace of  $V;^a$
- **(b)** if  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $A^{\perp} \supseteq B^{\perp}$ .

<sup>a</sup>Note that it is possible that  $A = \emptyset$ . In this case, we simply get that  $A^{\perp} = V$ . This is because every vector in V is (vacuously) orthogonal to every vector in the empty set.

*Proof (outline).* For (a), we simply check that  $A^{\perp}$  contains **0** and is closed under vector addition and scalar multiplication (details: Lecture Notes).

Part (b) is "obvious": if  $A \subseteq B$ , then any vector that is orthogonal to every vector in B is, in particular, orthogonal to every vector in A.  $\Box$ 

Let V be a real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k \in V$ . Then  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}^{\perp} = \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k)^{\perp}$ .

Proof.

Let V be a real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k \in V$ . Then  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}^{\perp} = \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k)^{\perp}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\} \subseteq \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k)$ , Prop. 6.4.1(b) guarantees that  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}^{\perp} \supseteq \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k)^{\perp}$ .

Let V be a real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k \in V$ . Then  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}^{\perp} = \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k)^{\perp}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\} \subseteq \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k)$ , Prop. 6.4.1(b) guarantees that  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}^{\perp} \supseteq \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k)^{\perp}$ .

Let us prove the reverse inclusion.

Let V be a real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k \in V$ . Then  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}^{\perp} = \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k)^{\perp}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\} \subseteq \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k)$ , Prop. 6.4.1(b) guarantees that  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}^{\perp} \supseteq \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k)^{\perp}$ .

Let us prove the reverse inclusion. Fix  $\mathbf{x} \in {\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}}^{\perp}$ . WTS  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k)^{\perp}$ .

Let V be a real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k \in V$ . Then  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}^{\perp} = \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k)^{\perp}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\} \subseteq \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k)$ , Prop. 6.4.1(b) guarantees that  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}^{\perp} \supseteq \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k)^{\perp}$ .

Let us prove the reverse inclusion. Fix  $\mathbf{x} \in {\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}}^{\perp}$ . WTS  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k)^{\perp}$ . Fix  $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k)$ .

Let V be a real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k \in V$ . Then  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}^{\perp} = \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k)^{\perp}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\} \subseteq \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k)$ , Prop. 6.4.1(b) guarantees that  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}^{\perp} \supseteq \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k)^{\perp}$ .

Let us prove the reverse inclusion. Fix  $\mathbf{x} \in {\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}}^{\perp}$ . WTS  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k)^{\perp}$ . Fix  $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k)$ . Then there exist scalars  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$  s.t.  $\mathbf{u} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + \dots + \alpha_k \mathbf{u}_k$ .

Let V be a real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k \in V$ . Then  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}^{\perp} = \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k)^{\perp}$ .

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Proof. Since } \{u_1, \ldots, u_k\} \subseteq \textsf{Span}(u_1, \ldots, u_k), \textit{ Prop. 6.4.1(b)} \\ \textit{guarantees that } \{u_1, \ldots, u_k\}^{\perp} \supseteq \textsf{Span}(u_1, \ldots, u_k)^{\perp}. \end{array}$ 

Let us prove the reverse inclusion. Fix  $\mathbf{x} \in {\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}}^{\perp}$ . WTS  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k)^{\perp}$ . Fix  $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k)$ . Then there exist scalars  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$  s.t.  $\mathbf{u} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k \mathbf{u}_k$ . But now

$$\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = \langle \alpha_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + \dots + \alpha_k \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{x} \rangle$$
$$= \alpha_1 \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \dots + \alpha_k \langle \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{x} \rangle$$
$$\stackrel{(*)}{=} \alpha_1 \mathbf{0} + \dots + \alpha_k \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0},$$

where (\*) follows from the fact that  $\mathbf{x} \in {\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}}^{\perp}$ . This proves that  $\mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{u}$ , and consequently,  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k)^{\perp}$ .  $\Box$ 

Recall from subsection 3.1.3 of the Lecture Notes (last semester) that if V is a vector space over a field 𝔽, and U and W are subspaces of V, then

$$U+W := \{\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{w} \mid \mathbf{u} \in U, \ \mathbf{w} \in W\}$$

is a subspace of V.

Recall from subsection 3.1.3 of the Lecture Notes (last semester) that if V is a vector space over a field 𝔽, and U and W are subspaces of V, then

$$U + W := \{\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w} \mid \mathbf{u} \in U, \ \mathbf{w} \in W\}$$

is a subspace of V.

#### Theorem 3.2.23

Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ , and let U and W be subspaces of V. Then  $U \cap W$  and U + W are subspaces of V. Moreover, U, W,  $U \cap W$ , and U + W are all finite-dimensional and satisfy

 $\dim(U+W) + \dim(U \cap W) = \dim(U) + \dim(W).$ 

- Reminder (for subspaces U and W of a vector space V):
  - $U + W := {\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w} \mid \mathbf{u} \in U, \ \mathbf{w} \in W};$
  - $\dim(U + W) + \dim(U \cap W) = \dim(U) + \dim(W)$  when V is finite-dimensional (Theorem 3.2.23).

- Reminder (for subspaces U and W of a vector space V):
  - $U + W := {\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w} \mid \mathbf{u} \in U, \ \mathbf{w} \in W};$
  - $\dim(U + W) + \dim(U \cap W) = \dim(U) + \dim(W)$  when V is finite-dimensional (Theorem 3.2.23).
- Recall from subsection 3.2.6 of the Lecture Notes that if V = U + W and  $U \cap W = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , then we say that V is the *direct sum* of U and W, and we write  $V = U \oplus W$ .

- Reminder (for subspaces U and W of a vector space V):
  - $U + W := {\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w} \mid \mathbf{u} \in U, \ \mathbf{w} \in W};$
  - $\dim(U + W) + \dim(U \cap W) = \dim(U) + \dim(W)$  when V is finite-dimensional (Theorem 3.2.23).
- Recall from subsection 3.2.6 of the Lecture Notes that if V = U + W and  $U \cap W = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , then we say that V is the *direct sum* of U and W, and we write  $V = U \oplus W$ .
  - By Theorem 3.2.23, if V = U ⊕ W, then dim(V) = dim(U) + dim(W).

• Reminder (for subspaces U and W of a vector space V):

- $U + W := {\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w} \mid \mathbf{u} \in U, \ \mathbf{w} \in W};$
- $\dim(U + W) + \dim(U \cap W) = \dim(U) + \dim(W)$  when V is finite-dimensional (Theorem 3.2.23).
- Recall from subsection 3.2.6 of the Lecture Notes that if V = U + W and  $U \cap W = \{0\}$ , then we say that V is the *direct sum* of U and W, and we write  $V = U \oplus W$ .
  - By Theorem 3.2.23, if V = U ⊕ W, then dim(V) = dim(U) + dim(W).

#### Theorem 3.2.24

Let V be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ , and let U and W be subspaces of V such that  $V = U \oplus W$ . Then for all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ , there exist unique  $\mathbf{u} \in U$  and  $\mathbf{w} \in W$  such that  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}$ .

Let V be a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the induced norm  $|| \cdot ||$ . Let U be a subspace of V. Then  $U^{\perp}$  is a subspace of V, and all the following hold:

- if {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U, and {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>, u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an extension of that basis to an orthogonal basis of V, then {u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>;
- if {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>} is an orthonormal basis of U, and {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>, u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an extension of that basis to an orthonormal basis of V, then {u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an orthonormal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>;

$$\ \, (U^{\perp})^{\perp}=U;$$

 $\ \, {\boldsymbol{\mathbb O}} \quad {\boldsymbol V}={\boldsymbol U}\oplus{\boldsymbol U}^\perp, \text{ that is, } {\boldsymbol V}={\boldsymbol U}+{\boldsymbol U}^\perp \text{ and } {\boldsymbol U}\cap{\boldsymbol U}^\perp=\{{\boldsymbol 0}\};$ 

$${igsim}$$
 dim $(V)={
m dim}(U)+{
m dim}(U^{\perp}).$ 

# Theorem 6.4.3

if {u<sub>1</sub>,...,u<sub>k</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U, and {u<sub>1</sub>,...,u<sub>k</sub>, u<sub>k+1</sub>,...,u<sub>n</sub>} is an extension of that basis to an orthogonal basis of V, then {u<sub>k+1</sub>,...,u<sub>n</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>;

Proof of (a).

# Theorem 6.4.3

if {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U, and {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>, u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an extension of that basis to an orthogonal basis of V, then {u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>;

*Proof of (a).* Assume that  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  is an orthogonal basis of U, and that  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is an extension of that basis to an orthogonal basis of V. WTS  $\{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is an orthogonal basis of  $U^{\perp}$ .

## Theorem 6.4.3

if {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U, and {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>, u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an extension of that basis to an orthogonal basis of V, then {u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>;

*Proof of (a).* Assume that  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  is an orthogonal basis of U, and that  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is an extension of that basis to an orthogonal basis of V. WTS  $\{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is an orthogonal basis of  $U^{\perp}$ . Clearly,  $\{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is an orthogonal set of vectors, and so it suffices to show that  $\{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is in fact a basis of  $U^{\perp}$ .

## Theorem 6.4.3

if {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U, and {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>, u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an extension of that basis to an orthogonal basis of V, then {u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>;

*Proof of (a).* Assume that  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  is an orthogonal basis of U, and that  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is an extension of that basis to an orthogonal basis of V. WTS  $\{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is an orthogonal basis of  $U^{\perp}$ . Clearly,  $\{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is an orthogonal set of vectors, and so it suffices to show that  $\{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is in fact a basis of  $U^{\perp}$ . We already know that  $\{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is linearly independent (because it is a subset of the basis  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  of V), and so we need only show that  $Span(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n) = U^{\perp}$ .

if {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U, and {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>, u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an extension of that basis to an orthogonal basis of V, then {u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>;

*Proof of (a) (cont.).* Reminder: WTS Span $(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n) = U^{\perp}$ .

if {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U, and {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>, u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an extension of that basis to an orthogonal basis of V, then {u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>;

*Proof of (a) (cont.).* Reminder: WTS Span $(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n) = U^{\perp}$ . We first prove that Span $(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n) \supseteq U^{\perp}$ .

if {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U, and {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>, u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an extension of that basis to an orthogonal basis of V, then {u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>;

*Proof of (a) (cont.).* Reminder: WTS Span $(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n) = U^{\perp}$ . We first prove that Span $(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n) \supseteq U^{\perp}$ . Fix  $\mathbf{x} \in U^{\perp}$ .

if {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U, and {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>, u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an extension of that basis to an orthogonal basis of V, then {u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>;

*Proof of (a) (cont.).* Reminder: WTS Span $(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n) = U^{\perp}$ . We first prove that Span $(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n) \supseteq U^{\perp}$ . Fix  $\mathbf{x} \in U^{\perp}$ . Then

$$\mathbf{x} \stackrel{(*)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \stackrel{(**)}{=} \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i$$

where (\*) follows from Theorem 6.3.5 (since  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is an orthogonal basis of V) and (\*\*) follows from the fact that  $\mathbf{x} \in U^{\perp}$  and  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k \in U$ , we so  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle = 0$  for all  $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ .

if {u<sub>1</sub>,...,u<sub>k</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U, and {u<sub>1</sub>,...,u<sub>k</sub>, u<sub>k+1</sub>,...,u<sub>n</sub>} is an extension of that basis to an orthogonal basis of V, then {u<sub>k+1</sub>,...,u<sub>n</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>;

*Proof of (a) (cont.).* Reminder: WTS Span $(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n) = U^{\perp}$ . We first prove that Span $(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n) \supseteq U^{\perp}$ . Fix  $\mathbf{x} \in U^{\perp}$ . Then

$$\mathbf{x} \stackrel{(*)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \stackrel{(**)}{=} \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i$$

where (\*) follows from Theorem 6.3.5 (since  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is an orthogonal basis of V) and (\*\*) follows from the fact that  $\mathbf{x} \in U^{\perp}$  and  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k \in U$ , we so  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle = 0$  for all  $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ .

Thus, **x** is a linear combination of the vectors  $\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n$ , and we deduce that  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n)$ .

if {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U, and {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>, u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an extension of that basis to an orthogonal basis of V, then {u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>;

*Proof of (a) (cont.).* Reminder: WTS Span $(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n) = U^{\perp}$ . We first prove that Span $(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n) \supseteq U^{\perp}$ . Fix  $\mathbf{x} \in U^{\perp}$ . Then

$$\mathbf{x} \stackrel{(*)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \stackrel{(**)}{=} \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i$$

where (\*) follows from Theorem 6.3.5 (since  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is an orthogonal basis of V) and (\*\*) follows from the fact that  $\mathbf{x} \in U^{\perp}$  and  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k \in U$ , we so  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle = 0$  for all  $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ .

Thus, **x** is a linear combination of the vectors  $\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n$ , and we deduce that  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n)$ . This proves that  $\text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n) \supseteq U^{\perp}$ .

if {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U, and {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>, u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an extension of that basis to an orthogonal basis of V, then {u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>;

*Proof of (a) (cont.).* Reminder: WTS Span $(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n) = U^{\perp}$ . It remains to show that Span $(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n) \subseteq U^{\perp}$ .

if {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U, and {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>, u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an extension of that basis to an orthogonal basis of V, then {u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>;

*Proof of (a) (cont.).* Reminder: WTS  $\text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) = U^{\perp}$ . It remains to show that  $\text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) \subseteq U^{\perp}$ . Fix an arbitrary  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n)$ . WTS  $\mathbf{x} \in U^{\perp}$ .
if {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U, and {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>, u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an extension of that basis to an orthogonal basis of V, then {u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>;

*Proof of (a) (cont.).* Reminder: WTS Span( $\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n$ ) =  $U^{\perp}$ . It remains to show that Span( $\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n$ )  $\subseteq U^{\perp}$ . Fix an arbitrary  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n)$ . WTS  $\mathbf{x} \in U^{\perp}$ . Fix scalars  $\alpha_{k+1}, \ldots, \alpha_n$  such that

$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha_{k+1}\mathbf{u}_{k+1} + \cdots + \alpha_n\mathbf{u}_n.$$

if {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U, and {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>, u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an extension of that basis to an orthogonal basis of V, then {u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>;

*Proof of (a) (cont.).* Reminder: WTS Span( $\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n$ ) =  $U^{\perp}$ . It remains to show that Span( $\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n$ )  $\subseteq U^{\perp}$ . Fix an arbitrary  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n)$ . WTS  $\mathbf{x} \in U^{\perp}$ . Fix scalars  $\alpha_{k+1}, \ldots, \alpha_n$  such that

$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha_{k+1}\mathbf{u}_{k+1} + \cdots + \alpha_n\mathbf{u}_n.$$

Fix any  $\mathbf{u} \in U$ ; we must show that  $\mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{u}$ . Since  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  is a basis of U, we know that there exist scalars  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$  such that

$$\mathbf{u} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k \mathbf{u}_k.$$

if {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U, and {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>, u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an extension of that basis to an orthogonal basis of V, then {u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>;

*Proof of (a) (cont.).* Reminder: WTS Span( $\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n$ ) =  $U^{\perp}$ . It remains to show that Span( $\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n$ )  $\subseteq U^{\perp}$ . Fix an arbitrary  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n)$ . WTS  $\mathbf{x} \in U^{\perp}$ . Fix scalars  $\alpha_{k+1}, \ldots, \alpha_n$  such that

 $\mathbf{x} = \alpha_{k+1}\mathbf{u}_{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_n\mathbf{u}_n.$ 

Fix any  $\mathbf{u} \in U$ ; we must show that  $\mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{u}$ . Since  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  is a basis of U, we know that there exist scalars  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$  such that

$$\mathbf{u} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k \mathbf{u}_k.$$

Since  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\} \perp \{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ , it readily follows that  $\mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{u}$  (details: Lecture Notes), and we deduce that Span $(\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n) \subseteq U^{\perp}$ . This proves (a).

- if {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U, and {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>, u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an extension of that basis to an orthogonal basis of V, then {u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>;
- if {u<sub>1</sub>,...,u<sub>k</sub>} is an orthonormal basis of U, and {u<sub>1</sub>,...,u<sub>k</sub>, u<sub>k+1</sub>,...,u<sub>n</sub>} is an extension of that basis to an orthonormal basis of V, then {u<sub>k+1</sub>,...,u<sub>n</sub>} is an orthonormal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>;

Proof of (b). Part (b) follows immediately from part (a).

( 
$$U^{\perp})^{\perp}=U;$$

$${f 0}$$
  $V=U\oplus U^{ot}$  , that is,  $V=U+U^{ot}$  and  $U\cap U^{ot}=\{{f 0}\};$ 

$$Im(V) = \dim(U) + \dim(U^{\perp}).$$

Proof (continued). It remains to prove (c), (d), and (e).

( 
$$U^{\perp})^{\perp} = U;$$

$$\ \, {\color{black} 0} \quad V = U \oplus U^{\perp}, \text{ that is, } \ V = U + U^{\perp} \text{ and } \ U \cap U^{\perp} = \{ {\color{black} 0} \};$$

• dim
$$(V)$$
 = dim $(U)$  + dim $(U^{\perp})$ .

Proof (continued). It remains to prove (c), (d), and (e).

First, since V is finite-dimensional, so is U.

( 
$$U^{\perp})^{\perp} = U;$$

$$Im(V) = \dim(U) + \dim(U^{\perp}).$$

Proof (continued). It remains to prove (c), (d), and (e).

First, since V is finite-dimensional, so is U. So, by Corollary 6.3.11(a), U has an orthogonal basis  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ .

$$\ \, (U^{\perp})^{\perp}=U;$$

• dim
$$(V)$$
 = dim $(U)$  + dim $(U^{\perp})$ .

Proof (continued). It remains to prove (c), (d), and (e).

First, since V is finite-dimensional, so is U. So, by Corollary 6.3.11(a), U has an orthogonal basis  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ . By Corollary 6.3.11(b), the orthogonal basis  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  of U can be extended to an orthogonal basis  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  of V.

$$\ \, (U^{\perp})^{\perp}=U;$$

• dim
$$(V)$$
 = dim $(U)$  + dim $(U^{\perp})$ .

Proof (continued). It remains to prove (c), (d), and (e).

First, since V is finite-dimensional, so is U. So, by Corollary 6.3.11(a), U has an orthogonal basis  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ . By Corollary 6.3.11(b), the orthogonal basis  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  of U can be extended to an orthogonal basis  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  of V. By (a),  $\{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is an orthogonal basis of  $U^{\perp}$ .

$$\ \, (U^{\perp})^{\perp}=U;$$

• dim
$$(V)$$
 = dim $(U)$  + dim $(U^{\perp})$ .

Proof (continued). It remains to prove (c), (d), and (e).

First, since V is finite-dimensional, so is U. So, by Corollary 6.3.11(a), U has an orthogonal basis  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ . By Corollary 6.3.11(b), the orthogonal basis  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  of U can be extended to an orthogonal basis  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  of V. By (a),  $\{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is an orthogonal basis of  $U^{\perp}$ . But then  $\{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  is an orthogonal basis of V that extends  $\{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ ,

$$(U^{\perp})^{\perp} = U;$$

$$Im(V) = \dim(U) + \dim(U^{\perp}).$$

Proof (continued). It remains to prove (c), (d), and (e).

First, since V is finite-dimensional, so is U. So, by Corollary 6.3.11(a), U has an orthogonal basis  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ . By Corollary 6.3.11(b), the orthogonal basis  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  of U can be extended to an orthogonal basis  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  of V. By (a),  $\{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is an orthogonal basis of  $U^{\perp}$ . But then  $\{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  is an orthogonal basis of V that extends  $\{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ , and so by (a) applied to the vector space  $U^{\perp}$ , we have that  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  is an orthogonal basis of  $(U^{\perp})^{\perp}$ .

$$(U^{\perp})^{\perp} = U;$$

$$\textcircled{0}$$
  $V=U\oplus U^{\perp},$  that is,  $V=U+U^{\perp}$  and  $U\cap U^{\perp}=\{m{0}\};$ 

$$Im(V) = \dim(U) + \dim(U^{\perp}).$$

Proof (continued). It remains to prove (c), (d), and (e).

First, since V is finite-dimensional, so is U. So, by Corollary 6.3.11(a), U has an orthogonal basis  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ . By Corollary 6.3.11(b), the orthogonal basis  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  of U can be extended to an orthogonal basis  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  of V. By (a),  $\{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is an orthogonal basis of  $U^{\perp}$ . But then  $\{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  is an orthogonal basis of V that extends  $\{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ , and so by (a) applied to the vector space  $U^{\perp}$ , we have that  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  is an orthogonal basis of  $(U^{\perp})^{\perp}$ . But now  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  is a basis of both U and  $(U^{\perp})^{\perp}$ , and it follows that  $U = (U^{\perp})^{\perp}$ , i.e. (c) holds.

(
$$U^{\perp}$$
) <sup>$\perp$</sup>  =  $U$ ;  
 $V = U \oplus U^{\perp}$ , that is,  $V = U + U^{\perp}$  and  $U \cap U^{\perp} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ ;  
 $\dim(V) = \dim(U) + \dim(U^{\perp})$ .

Proof (continued). Further, we have the following:

- dim(U) = k, since  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  is a basis of U;
- dim $(U^{\perp}) = n k$ , since  $\{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is a basis of  $U^{\perp}$ ;
- dim(V) = n, since  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is a basis of V.

It now immediately follows that  $\dim(V) = \dim(U) + \dim(U^{\perp})$ , i.e. (e) holds.

(
$$U^{\perp}$$
) <sup>$\perp$</sup>  =  $U$ ;

$$@ \quad V = U \oplus U^{\perp}$$
, that is,  $V = U + U^{\perp}$  and  $U \cap U^{\perp} = \{ m{0} \} \}$ 

$$\ \ \, {\sf Omm}(V)={\sf dim}(U)+{\sf dim}(U^{\perp}).$$

*Proof (continued).* Finally, we prove (d).

$$(U^{\perp})^{\perp} = U;$$

④ 
$$V=U\oplus U^{\perp}$$
, that is,  $V=U+U^{\perp}$  and  $U\cap U^{\perp}=\{\mathbf{0}\};$ 

$${f O} \quad {\sf dim}(V) = {\sf dim}(U) + {\sf dim}(U^{\perp}).$$

*Proof (continued).* Finally, we prove (d).

Let us first show that  $U \cap U^{\perp} = \{\mathbf{0}\}.$ 

$$(U^{\perp})^{\perp} = U;$$

④ 
$$V=U\oplus U^{\perp}$$
, that is,  $V=U+U^{\perp}$  and  $U\cap U^{\perp}=\{\mathbf{0}\}$ 

*Proof (continued).* Finally, we prove (d).

Let us first show that  $U \cap U^{\perp} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . Since U and  $U^{\perp}$  are both subspaces of V, they both contain  $\mathbf{0}$ , and consequently,  $\mathbf{0} \in U \cap U^{\perp}$ .

$$(U^{\perp})^{\perp} = U;$$

④ 
$$V=U\oplus U^{\perp}$$
, that is,  $V=U+U^{\perp}$  and  $U\cap U^{\perp}=\{\mathbf{0}\};$ 

$$\ \, {\sf Omm}(V) = {\sf dim}(U) + {\sf dim}(U^{\perp}).$$

*Proof (continued).* Finally, we prove (d).

Let us first show that  $U \cap U^{\perp} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . Since U and  $U^{\perp}$  are both subspaces of V, they both contain  $\mathbf{0}$ , and consequently,  $\mathbf{0} \in U \cap U^{\perp}$ .

Now, fix any  $\mathbf{u} \in U \cap U^{\perp}$ ; we must show that  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$(U^{\perp})^{\perp} = U;$$

④ 
$$V=U\oplus U^{\perp}$$
, that is,  $V=U+U^{\perp}$  and  $U\cap U^{\perp}=\{\mathbf{0}\}$ 

$$\ \, {\sf Omm}(V) = {\sf dim}(U) + {\sf dim}(U^{\perp}).$$

## *Proof (continued).* Finally, we prove (d).

Let us first show that  $U \cap U^{\perp} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . Since U and  $U^{\perp}$  are both subspaces of V, they both contain  $\mathbf{0}$ , and consequently,  $\mathbf{0} \in U \cap U^{\perp}$ .

Now, fix any  $\mathbf{u} \in U \cap U^{\perp}$ ; we must show that  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ . Since  $\mathbf{u} \in U$  and  $\mathbf{u} \in U^{\perp}$ , we have that  $\mathbf{u} \perp \mathbf{u}$ , i.e.  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$(U^{\perp})^{\perp} = U;$$

④ 
$$V=U\oplus U^{\perp}$$
, that is,  $V=U+U^{\perp}$  and  $U\cap U^{\perp}=\{\mathbf{0}\};$ 

$$\ \, {\sf Omm}(V) = {\sf dim}(U) + {\sf dim}(U^{\perp}).$$

# *Proof (continued).* Finally, we prove (d).

Let us first show that  $U \cap U^{\perp} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . Since U and  $U^{\perp}$  are both subspaces of V, they both contain  $\mathbf{0}$ , and consequently,  $\mathbf{0} \in U \cap U^{\perp}$ .

Now, fix any  $\mathbf{u} \in U \cap U^{\perp}$ ; we must show that  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ . Since  $\mathbf{u} \in U$  and  $\mathbf{u} \in U^{\perp}$ , we have that  $\mathbf{u} \perp \mathbf{u}$ , i.e.  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = 0$ . But then by the definition of a scalar product, we have that  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$(U^{\perp})^{\perp} = U;$$

$$@ \quad V = U \oplus U^{\perp}$$
, that is,  $V = U + U^{\perp}$  and  $U \cap U^{\perp} = \{ m{0} \};$ 

$$\ \, {\sf Omm}(V) = {\sf dim}(U) + {\sf dim}(U^{\perp}).$$

# *Proof (continued).* Finally, we prove (d).

Let us first show that  $U \cap U^{\perp} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . Since U and  $U^{\perp}$  are both subspaces of V, they both contain  $\mathbf{0}$ , and consequently,  $\mathbf{0} \in U \cap U^{\perp}$ .

Now, fix any  $\mathbf{u} \in U \cap U^{\perp}$ ; we must show that  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ . Since  $\mathbf{u} \in U$  and  $\mathbf{u} \in U^{\perp}$ , we have that  $\mathbf{u} \perp \mathbf{u}$ , i.e.  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = 0$ . But then by the definition of a scalar product, we have that  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ . This proves that  $U \cap U^{\perp} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

$$(U^{\perp})^{\perp} = U;$$

$$@ \quad V = U \oplus U^{\perp}$$
, that is,  $V = U + U^{\perp}$  and  $U \cap U^{\perp} = \{ oldsymbol{0} \};$ 

• dim
$$(V) = \dim(U) + \dim(U^{\perp})$$
.

*Proof (continued).* It remains to show that  $V = U + U^{\perp}$ .

(1) 
$$U^{\perp} = U;$$
  
(1)  $V = U \oplus U^{\perp}$ , that is,  $V = U + U^{\perp}$  and  $U \cap U^{\perp} = \{\mathbf{0}\};$   
(2)  $\dim(V) = \dim(U) + \dim(U^{\perp}).$ 

*Proof (continued).* It remains to show that  $V = U + U^{\perp}$ .

It is clear that  $U + U^{\perp} \subseteq V$ , and so we need only show that  $V \subseteq U + U^{\perp}$ .

(a) 
$$(U^{\perp})^{\perp} = U;$$
  
(a)  $V = U \oplus U^{\perp}$ , that is,  $V = U + U^{\perp}$  and  $U \cap U^{\perp} = \{\mathbf{0}\};$   
(b)  $\dim(V) = \dim(U) + \dim(U^{\perp}).$ 

*Proof (continued).* It remains to show that  $V = U + U^{\perp}$ .

It is clear that  $U + U^{\perp} \subseteq V$ , and so we need only show that  $V \subseteq U + U^{\perp}$ .

Fix any  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ .

(1) 
$$U^{\perp} = U;$$
  
(1)  $V = U \oplus U^{\perp}$ , that is,  $V = U + U^{\perp}$  and  $U \cap U^{\perp} = \{\mathbf{0}\};$   
(2)  $\dim(V) = \dim(U) + \dim(U^{\perp}).$ 

*Proof (continued).* It remains to show that  $V = U + U^{\perp}$ .

It is clear that  $U + U^{\perp} \subseteq V$ , and so we need only show that  $V \subseteq U + U^{\perp}$ .

Fix any  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ . Since  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is a basis of V, we know that there exist scalars  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$  such that  $\mathbf{v} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \mathbf{u}_n$ . Set  $\mathbf{v}_1 := \alpha_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k \mathbf{u}_k$  and  $\mathbf{v}_2 := \alpha_{k+1} \mathbf{u}_{k+1} + \cdots + \alpha_n \mathbf{u}_n$ . Then  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2$ . Since  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  is a basis of U, we see that  $\mathbf{v}_1 \in U$ , and since  $\{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  is a basis of  $U^{\perp}$ , we see that  $\mathbf{v}_2 \in U^{\perp}$ . So,  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2$  belongs to  $U + U^{\perp}$ , and it follows that  $V \subseteq U + U^{\perp}$ . This proves (d), and we are done.  $\Box$ 

Let V be a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the induced norm  $|| \cdot ||$ . Let U be a subspace of V. Then  $U^{\perp}$  is a subspace of V, and all the following hold:

- if {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U, and {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>, u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an extension of that basis to an orthogonal basis of V, then {u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>;
- if {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>} is an orthonormal basis of U, and {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>, u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an extension of that basis to an orthonormal basis of V, then {u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>} is an orthonormal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>;

$$\ \, (U^{\perp})^{\perp}=U;$$

 $\ \, {\boldsymbol{\mathbb O}} \quad {\boldsymbol V}={\boldsymbol U}\oplus{\boldsymbol U}^\perp, \text{ that is, } {\boldsymbol V}={\boldsymbol U}+{\boldsymbol U}^\perp \text{ and } {\boldsymbol U}\cap{\boldsymbol U}^\perp=\{{\boldsymbol 0}\};$ 

$${igsim}$$
 dim $(V)={
m dim}(U)+{
m dim}(U^{\perp}).$ 

- As a corollary of Theorem 6.4.3(a-b), we obtain the following computationally useful proposition.
  - The proposition is long, and we need two slides to state it.

## Proposition 6.4.4

Let *V* be a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the induced norm  $|| \cdot ||$ . Let  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$  be any linearly independent set of vectors *V*, and let  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  be an extension of that linearly independent set to a basis of *V*. Set  $U := \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k)$ .

- If the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process (version 1) is applied to input vectors v<sub>1</sub>,..., v<sub>k</sub>, v<sub>k+1</sub>,..., v<sub>n</sub> to produce output vectors u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>, u<sub>k+1</sub>,..., u<sub>n</sub>, then both the following hold:
  - {**u**<sub>1</sub>,...,**u**<sub>k</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U, and {**u**<sub>k+1</sub>,...,**u**<sub>n</sub>} is an orthogonal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>;
  - $\left\{ \frac{\mathbf{u}_1}{||\mathbf{u}_1||}, \dots, \frac{\mathbf{u}_k}{||\mathbf{u}_k||} \right\}$  is an orthonormal basis of U, and  $\left\{ \frac{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}}{||\mathbf{u}_{k+1}||}, \dots, \frac{\mathbf{u}_n}{||\mathbf{u}_n||} \right\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $U^{\perp}$ .

#### Proposition 6.4.4

Let *V* be a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the induced norm  $|| \cdot ||$ . Let  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$  be any linearly independent set of vectors *V*, and let  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  be an extension of that linearly independent set to a basis of *V*. Set  $U := \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k)$ .

If the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process (version 2) is applied to input vectors v<sub>1</sub>,..., v<sub>k</sub>, v<sub>k+1</sub>,..., v<sub>n</sub> to produce output vectors z<sub>1</sub>,..., z<sub>k</sub>, z<sub>k+1</sub>,..., z<sub>n</sub>, then {z<sub>1</sub>,..., z<sub>k</sub>} is an orthonormal basis of U, and {z<sub>k+1</sub>,..., z<sub>n</sub>} is an orthonormal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>.

### Proposition 6.4.4

Let *V* be a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the induced norm  $|| \cdot ||$ . Let  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$  be any linearly independent set of vectors *V*, and let  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  be an extension of that linearly independent set to a basis of *V*. Set  $U := \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k)$ .

- If the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process (version 2) is applied to input vectors v<sub>1</sub>,..., v<sub>k</sub>, v<sub>k+1</sub>,..., v<sub>n</sub> to produce output vectors z<sub>1</sub>,..., z<sub>k</sub>, z<sub>k+1</sub>,..., z<sub>n</sub>, then {z<sub>1</sub>,..., z<sub>k</sub>} is an orthonormal basis of U, and {z<sub>k+1</sub>,..., z<sub>n</sub>} is an orthonormal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>.
  - This is an easy corollary of Theorem 6.4.3 (details: Lecture Notes).

# Example 6.4.5

Consider the following vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ :

$$\mathbf{a}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{a}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\2\\2\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{a}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\3\\3\\3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{a}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\4\\4\\2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Compute an orthonormal basis of  $U := \text{Span}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3, \mathbf{a}_4)$  and an orthonormal basis of  $U^{\perp}$ .

Solution.

## Example 6.4.5

Consider the following vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ :

$$\mathbf{a}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{a}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\2\\2\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{a}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\3\\3\\3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{a}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\4\\4\\2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Compute an orthonormal basis of  $U := \text{Span}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3, \mathbf{a}_4)$  and an orthonormal basis of  $U^{\perp}$ .

Solution. First, we need to find a basis of U and extend it to a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . For this, we use Proposition 3.3.21. We consider the standard basis  $\mathcal{E}_4 = \{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_4\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , and we form the matrix

$$C := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{a}_3 & \mathbf{a}_4 & \mathbf{e}_1 & \mathbf{e}_2 & \mathbf{e}_3 & \mathbf{e}_4 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By row reducing, we obtain

$$\mathsf{RREF}(C) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2/3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

٠

By row reducing, we obtain

$$\mathsf{RREF}(C) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2/3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

•

As we can see, the pivot columns of C are its first, third, fifth, and sixth column.

By row reducing, we obtain

$$\mathsf{RREF}(C) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2/3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

As we can see, the pivot columns of *C* are its first, third, fifth, and sixth column. So, by Proposition 3.3.21,  $\{a_1, a_3\}$  is a basis of *U*, and  $\{a_1, a_3, e_1, e_2\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  that extends  $\{a_1, a_3\}$ .

By row reducing, we obtain

$$\mathsf{RREF}(C) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2/3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

As we can see, the pivot columns of *C* are its first, third, fifth, and sixth column. So, by Proposition 3.3.21,  $\{a_1, a_3\}$  is a basis of *U*, and  $\{a_1, a_3, e_1, e_2\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  that extends  $\{a_1, a_3\}$ . By applying the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process (version 2) to the vectors  $a_1, a_3, e_1, e_2$ , we obtain the following vectors (next slide): Solution (continued).

$$\mathbf{z}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{3} \\ 1/\sqrt{3} \\ 1/\sqrt{3} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{z}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} -2/\sqrt{15} \\ 1/\sqrt{15} \\ 1/\sqrt{15} \\ 3/\sqrt{15} \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\mathbf{z}_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 2/\sqrt{10} \\ -1/\sqrt{10} \\ -1/\sqrt{10} \\ 2/\sqrt{10} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{z}_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1/\sqrt{2} \\ -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
Solution (continued).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z}_{1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{3} \\ 1/\sqrt{3} \\ 1/\sqrt{3} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{z}_{2} &= \begin{bmatrix} -2/\sqrt{15} \\ 1/\sqrt{15} \\ 1/\sqrt{15} \\ 3/\sqrt{15} \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{z}_{3} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2/\sqrt{10} \\ -1/\sqrt{10} \\ -1/\sqrt{10} \\ 2/\sqrt{10} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{z}_{4} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1/\sqrt{2} \\ -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 6.4.4(b),  $\{z_1, z_2\}$  is an orthonormal basis of U, whereas  $\{z_3, z_4\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $U^{\perp}$ .  $\Box$ 

### Example 6.4.5

Consider the following vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ :

$$\mathbf{a}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{a}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\2\\2\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{a}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\3\\3\\3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{a}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\4\\4\\2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Compute an orthonormal basis of  $U := \text{Span}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3, \mathbf{a}_4)$  and an orthonormal basis of  $U^{\perp}$ .

Remark: We could also have applied the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process (version 1) to the vectors a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>3</sub>, e<sub>1</sub>, e<sub>2</sub>, and then normalized the output vectors. We would have gotten the same vectors z<sub>1</sub>, z<sub>2</sub>, z<sub>3</sub>, z<sub>4</sub> as above. Proposition 6.4.4(a) would then imply that {z<sub>1</sub>, z<sub>2</sub>} is an orthonormal basis of U, whereas {z<sub>3</sub>, z<sub>4</sub>} is an orthonormal basis of U<sup>⊥</sup>.

# Orthogonal projection onto a subspace

# Orthogonal projection onto a subspace

#### Theorem 6.5.1

Let V be a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the induced norm  $|| \cdot ||$ . Let U be a subspace of V, and let  $\mathbf{x} \in V$ . Then there exists a unique vector  $\mathbf{x}_U \in U$  that has the property that

$$||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_U|| = \min_{\mathbf{u} \in U} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}||.$$

Moreover, if  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  is an orthogonal basis of U, then this vector  $\mathbf{x}_U$  is given by the formula

$$\mathbf{x}_U = \sum_{i=1}^k \operatorname{proj}_{\mathbf{u}_i}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

• **Terminology/Notation:** The vector **x**<sub>U</sub> from Theorem 6.5.1 is called the *orthogonal projection* of **x** onto U.

Let V be a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the induced norm  $|| \cdot ||$ . Let U be a subspace of V, and let  $\mathbf{x} \in V$ . Then there exists a unique vector  $\mathbf{x}_U \in U$  that has the property that

$$||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_U|| = \min_{\mathbf{u} \in U} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}||.$$

Moreover, if  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  is an orthogonal basis of U, then this vector  $\mathbf{x}_U$  is given by the formula

$$\mathbf{x}_U = \sum_{i=1}^k \operatorname{proj}_{\mathbf{u}_i}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

• Remarks:

Let V be a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the induced norm  $|| \cdot ||$ . Let U be a subspace of V, and let  $\mathbf{x} \in V$ . Then there exists a unique vector  $\mathbf{x}_U \in U$  that has the property that

$$||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_U|| = \min_{\mathbf{u} \in U} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}||.$$

Moreover, if  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  is an orthogonal basis of U, then this vector  $\mathbf{x}_U$  is given by the formula

$$\mathbf{x}_U = \sum_{i=1}^k \operatorname{proj}_{\mathbf{u}_i}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

### Remarks:

• If  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  is an **orthonormal** basis of U, then

$$\mathbf{x}_U = \sum_{i=1}^k \operatorname{proj}_{\mathbf{u}_i}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle | \mathbf{u}_i.$$

Let V be a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the induced norm  $|| \cdot ||$ . Let U be a subspace of V, and let  $\mathbf{x} \in V$ . Then there exists a unique vector  $\mathbf{x}_U \in U$  that has the property that

$$||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_U|| = \min_{\mathbf{u} \in U} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}||.$$

Moreover, if  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  is an orthogonal basis of U, then this vector  $\mathbf{x}_U$  is given by the formula

$$\mathbf{x}_U = \sum_{i=1}^k \operatorname{proj}_{\mathbf{u}_i}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

- Remarks:
  - If  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  is an **orthonormal** basis of U, then

$$\mathbf{x}_U = \sum_{i=1}^k \operatorname{proj}_{\mathbf{u}_i}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle \mathbf{u}_i.$$

• If  $\mathbf{x} \in U$ , then  $\mathbf{x}_U = \mathbf{x}$ , since in this case, the expression  $||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}||$  (for  $\mathbf{u} \in U$ ) is minimized for  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{x}$ .

Let V be a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the induced norm  $|| \cdot ||$ . Let U be a subspace of V, and let  $\mathbf{x} \in V$ . Then there exists a unique vector  $\mathbf{x}_U \in U$  that has the property that

$$||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_U|| = \min_{\mathbf{u} \in U} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}||.$$

Moreover, if  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  is an orthogonal basis of U, then this vector  $\mathbf{x}_U$  is given by the formula

$$\mathbf{x}_U = \sum_{i=1}^k \operatorname{proj}_{\mathbf{u}_i}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

Now let's prove the theorem!

# Proof.

*Proof.* Using Corollary 6.3.11, we fix an orthogonal basis  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  of U, and we extend it to an orthogonal basis  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  of V.

$$\mathbf{u}^* := \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

$$\mathbf{u}^* := \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

• So, **u**\* is defined via the formula from the statement of the theorem.

$$\mathbf{u}^* := \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

- So, **u**<sup>\*</sup> is defined via the formula from the statement of the theorem.
- The reason we call it u\* rather than x<sub>U</sub> is because we have not proven the existence and uniqueness of x<sub>U</sub> yet.

$$\mathbf{u}^* := \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

- So, **u**<sup>\*</sup> is defined via the formula from the statement of the theorem.
- The reason we call it u<sup>\*</sup> rather than x<sub>U</sub> is because we have not proven the existence and uniqueness of x<sub>U</sub> yet.
- However, this is just a minor stylistic matter!

$$\mathbf{u}^* := \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

- So, **u**<sup>\*</sup> is defined via the formula from the statement of the theorem.
- The reason we call it u\* rather than x<sub>U</sub> is because we have not proven the existence and uniqueness of x<sub>U</sub> yet.
- However, this is just a minor stylistic matter!

Since  $\mathbf{u}^*$  is a linear combination of the vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k$ , which form a basis of U, we see that  $\mathbf{u}^* \in U$ .

$$\mathbf{u}^* := \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

- So, **u**\* is defined via the formula from the statement of the theorem.
- The reason we call it u<sup>\*</sup> rather than x<sub>U</sub> is because we have not proven the existence and uniqueness of x<sub>U</sub> yet.
- However, this is just a minor stylistic matter!

Since  $\mathbf{u}^*$  is a linear combination of the vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k$ , which form a basis of U, we see that  $\mathbf{u}^* \in U$ .

Now, fix any  $\mathbf{u} \in U$ . We must show that  $||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*|| \le ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}||$ , and that equality holds iff  $\mathbf{u}^* = \mathbf{u}$ . Clearly, this is sufficient to prove the theorem.

*Proof (continued).* Reminder:  $\mathbf{u}^* := \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i$ ;  $\mathbf{u} \in U$ ; WTS  $||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*|| \le ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}||$  and that equality holds iff  $\mathbf{u}^* = \mathbf{u}$ .

*Proof (continued).* Reminder:  $\mathbf{u}^* := \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i$ ;  $\mathbf{u} \in U$ ; WTS  $||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*|| \le ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}||$  and that equality holds iff  $\mathbf{u}^* = \mathbf{u}$ . Let us first prove that  $(\mathbf{u}^* - \mathbf{u}) \perp (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*)$ . *Proof (continued).* Reminder:  $\mathbf{u}^* := \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i$ ;  $\mathbf{u} \in U$ ; WTS  $||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*|| \le ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}||$  and that equality holds iff  $\mathbf{u}^* = \mathbf{u}$ . Let us first prove that  $(\mathbf{u}^* - \mathbf{u}) \perp (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*)$ . Since  $\mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{u} \in U$ , and

since U is a subspace of V, it is clear that  $\mathbf{u}^* - \mathbf{u} \in U$ .

*Proof (continued).* Reminder:  $\mathbf{u}^* := \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i$ ;  $\mathbf{u} \in U$ ; WTS  $||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*|| \le ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}||$  and that equality holds iff  $\mathbf{u}^* = \mathbf{u}$ . Let us first prove that  $(\mathbf{u}^* - \mathbf{u}) \perp (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*)$ . Since  $\mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{u} \in U$ , and

since U is a subspace of V, it is clear that  $\mathbf{u}^* - \mathbf{u} \in U$ . So, it suffices to show that  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^* \in U^{\perp}$ .

By Theorem 6.3.5, we have that

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i,$$

By Theorem 6.3.5, we have that

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i,$$

and it follows that

$$\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^* = \sum_{i=k+1}^n \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

By Theorem 6.3.5, we have that

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i,$$

and it follows that

$$\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^* = \sum_{i=k+1}^n \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

So,  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*$  is a linear combination of the vectors  $\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n$ ; since those n - k vectors form a basis of  $U^{\perp}$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^* \in U^{\perp}$ .

By Theorem 6.3.5, we have that

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i,$$

and it follows that

$$\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^* = \sum_{i=k+1}^n \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

So,  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*$  is a linear combination of the vectors  $\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n$ ; since those n - k vectors form a basis of  $U^{\perp}$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^* \in U^{\perp}$ . This proves that  $(\mathbf{u}^* - \mathbf{u}) \perp (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*)$ . *Proof (continued).* Reminder:  $\mathbf{u}^* := \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i$ ;  $\mathbf{u} \in U$ ; WTS  $||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*|| \le ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}||$  and that equality holds iff  $\mathbf{u}^* = \mathbf{u}$ .

Now that we have shown that vectors  $\mathbf{u}^* - \mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*$  are orthogonal to each other, we can apply the Pythagorean theorem to them, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}||^2 &= ||(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*) + (\mathbf{u}^* - \mathbf{u})||^2 \\ \stackrel{(*)}{=} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*||^2 + ||\mathbf{u}^* - \mathbf{u}||^2 \\ &\geq ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*||^2, \end{aligned}$$

where (\*) follows from the Pythagorean theorem.

*Proof (continued).* Reminder:  $\mathbf{u}^* := \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i$ ;  $\mathbf{u} \in U$ ; WTS  $||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*|| \le ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}||$  and that equality holds iff  $\mathbf{u}^* = \mathbf{u}$ .

Now that we have shown that vectors  $\mathbf{u}^* - \mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*$  are orthogonal to each other, we can apply the Pythagorean theorem to them, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}||^2 &= ||(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*) + (\mathbf{u}^* - \mathbf{u})||^2 \\ \stackrel{(*)}{=} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*||^2 + ||\mathbf{u}^* - \mathbf{u}||^2 \\ &\geq ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*||^2, \end{aligned}$$

where (\*) follows from the Pythagorean theorem. Consequently, we have that  $||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*|| \le ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}||$ . Moreover, the inequality above is an equality iff  $||\mathbf{u}^* - \mathbf{u}|| = 0$ , i.e. iff  $\mathbf{u}^* = \mathbf{u}$ . This completes the argument.  $\Box$ 

Let V be a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the induced norm  $|| \cdot ||$ . Let U be a subspace of V, and let  $\mathbf{x} \in V$ . Then there exists a unique vector  $\mathbf{x}_U \in U$  that has the property that

$$||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_U|| = \min_{\mathbf{u} \in U} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}||.$$

Moreover, if  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  is an orthogonal basis of U, then this vector  $\mathbf{x}_U$  is given by the formula

$$\mathbf{x}_U = \sum_{i=1}^k \operatorname{proj}_{\mathbf{u}_i}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

• **Terminology/Notation:** The vector **x**<sub>U</sub> from Theorem 6.5.1 is called the *orthogonal projection* of **x** onto U.

Let V be a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the induced norm  $|| \cdot ||$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}$  be any non-zero vector in V, and set  $U := \text{Span}(\mathbf{u})$ .<sup>*a*</sup> Then for every  $\mathbf{x} \in V$ , we have that

$$\mathbf{x}_U = \operatorname{proj}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle} \mathbf{u}.$$

<sup>a</sup>So, U is a one-dimensional subspace of V.

Proof.

Let V be a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the induced norm  $|| \cdot ||$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}$  be any non-zero vector in V, and set  $U := \text{Span}(\mathbf{u})$ .<sup>*a*</sup> Then for every  $\mathbf{x} \in V$ , we have that

$$\mathbf{x}_U = \operatorname{proj}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle} \mathbf{u}.$$

<sup>a</sup>So, U is a one-dimensional subspace of V.

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\{u\}$  is an orthogonal basis of U. So, the result follows immediately from Theorem 6.5.1.  $\Box$ 

Let V be a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the induced norm  $|| \cdot ||$ . Let U be a subspace of V, and let  $\mathbf{x} \in V$ . Then

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_U + \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}.$$

Moreover, this is the unique way of expressing **x** as a sum of a vector in U and a vector in  $U^{\perp}$ .<sup>*a*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>This means that for all  $\mathbf{y} \in U$  and  $\mathbf{z} \in U^{\perp}$ , if  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}$ , then  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}_U$  and  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}$ .



Let V be a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the induced norm  $|| \cdot ||$ . Let U be a subspace of V, and let  $\mathbf{x} \in V$ . Then

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_U + \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}.$$

Moreover, this is the unique way of expressing **x** as a sum of a vector in U and a vector in  $U^{\perp}$ .<sup>*a*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>This means that for all  $\mathbf{y} \in U$  and  $\mathbf{z} \in U^{\perp}$ , if  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}$ , then  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}_U$  and  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}$ .

Proof.

Let V be a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the induced norm  $|| \cdot ||$ . Let U be a subspace of V, and let  $\mathbf{x} \in V$ . Then

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_U + \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}.$$

Moreover, this is the unique way of expressing **x** as a sum of a vector in U and a vector in  $U^{\perp}$ .<sup>*a*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>This means that for all  $\mathbf{y} \in U$  and  $\mathbf{z} \in U^{\perp}$ , if  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}$ , then  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}_U$  and  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}$ .

*Proof.* By Corollary 6.3.11, U has an orthogonal basis  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_k\}$ , and moreover, this basis can be extended to an orthogonal basis  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_k, u_{k+1}, \ldots, u_n\}$  of V. By Theorem 6.4.3(a), we have that  $\{u_{k+1}, \ldots, u_n\}$  is an orthogonal basis of  $U^{\perp}$ .

Let V be a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the induced norm  $|| \cdot ||$ . Let U be a subspace of V, and let  $\mathbf{x} \in V$ . Then

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_U + \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}.$$

Moreover, this is the unique way of expressing **x** as a sum of a vector in U and a vector in  $U^{\perp}$ .<sup>*a*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>This means that for all  $\mathbf{y} \in U$  and  $\mathbf{z} \in U^{\perp}$ , if  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}$ , then  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}_U$  and  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}$ .

*Proof.* By Corollary 6.3.11, U has an orthogonal basis  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_k\}$ , and moreover, this basis can be extended to an orthogonal basis  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_k, u_{k+1}, \ldots, u_n\}$  of V. By Theorem 6.4.3(a), we have that  $\{u_{k+1}, \ldots, u_n\}$  is an orthogonal basis of  $U^{\perp}$ . Now, by Theorem 6.5.1, we have that

$$\mathbf{x}_{U} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}} = \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

*Solution (continued).* On the other hand, by Theorem 6.3.5, we have that

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

*Solution (continued).* On the other hand, by Theorem 6.3.5, we have that

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \right) + \left( \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \right) = \mathbf{x}_U + \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}.$$
$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \right) + \left( \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \right) = \mathbf{x}_U + \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}.$$

It remains to prove the uniqueness part of the corollary.

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \right) + \left( \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \right) = \mathbf{x}_U + \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}.$$

It remains to prove the uniqueness part of the corollary. So, suppose that  $\mathbf{y} \in U$  and  $\mathbf{z} \in U^{\perp}$  are such that  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}$ . WTS  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}_U$  and  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}$ .

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \right) + \left( \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \right) = \mathbf{x}_U + \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}.$$

It remains to prove the uniqueness part of the corollary. So, suppose that  $\mathbf{y} \in U$  and  $\mathbf{z} \in U^{\perp}$  are such that  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}$ . WTS  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}_U$  and  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}$ . We have that

$$\mathbf{x}_{\boldsymbol{U}} + \mathbf{x}_{\boldsymbol{U}^{\perp}} = \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z},$$

and consequently,

$$\mathbf{x}_U - \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}.$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \right) + \left( \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \right) = \mathbf{x}_U + \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}.$$

It remains to prove the uniqueness part of the corollary. So, suppose that  $\mathbf{y} \in U$  and  $\mathbf{z} \in U^{\perp}$  are such that  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}$ . WTS  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}_U$  and  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}$ . We have that

$$\mathbf{x}_{\boldsymbol{U}} + \mathbf{x}_{\boldsymbol{U}^{\perp}} = \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z},$$

and consequently,

$$\mathbf{x}_U - \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}$$

But  $\mathbf{x}_{\boldsymbol{U}} - \mathbf{y} \in \boldsymbol{U}$  and  $\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}_{\boldsymbol{U}^{\perp}} \in \boldsymbol{U}^{\perp}$ .

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \right) + \left( \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \right) = \mathbf{x}_U + \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}.$$

It remains to prove the uniqueness part of the corollary. So, suppose that  $\mathbf{y} \in U$  and  $\mathbf{z} \in U^{\perp}$  are such that  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}$ . WTS  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}_U$  and  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}$ . We have that

$$\mathbf{x}_{\boldsymbol{U}} + \mathbf{x}_{\boldsymbol{U}^{\perp}} = \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z},$$

and consequently,

$$\mathbf{x}_U - \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}.$$

But  $\mathbf{x}_U - \mathbf{y} \in U$  and  $\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}} \in U^{\perp}$ . Since  $U \cap U^{\perp} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  (by Theorem 6.4.3(d)), it follows that  $\mathbf{x}_U - \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}} = \mathbf{0}$ ,

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \right) + \left( \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \right) = \mathbf{x}_U + \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}.$$

It remains to prove the uniqueness part of the corollary. So, suppose that  $\mathbf{y} \in U$  and  $\mathbf{z} \in U^{\perp}$  are such that  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}$ . WTS  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}_U$  and  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}$ . We have that

$$\mathbf{x}_{\boldsymbol{U}} + \mathbf{x}_{\boldsymbol{U}^{\perp}} = \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z},$$

and consequently,

$$\mathbf{x}_U - \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}.$$

But  $\mathbf{x}_U - \mathbf{y} \in U$  and  $\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}} \in U^{\perp}$ . Since  $U \cap U^{\perp} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  (by Theorem 6.4.3(d)), it follows that  $\mathbf{x}_U - \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}} = \mathbf{0}$ , and consequently,  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}_U$  and  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}$ .  $\Box$ 

## Corollary 6.5.3

Let V be a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the induced norm  $|| \cdot ||$ . Let U be a subspace of V, and let  $\mathbf{x} \in V$ . Then

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_U + \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}.$$

Moreover, this is the unique way of expressing **x** as a sum of a vector in U and a vector in  $U^{\perp}$ .<sup>*a*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>This means that for all  $\mathbf{y} \in U$  and  $\mathbf{z} \in U^{\perp}$ , if  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}$ , then  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}_U$  and  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}_{U^{\perp}}$ .



 Suppose that V is a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product ⟨·, ·⟩ and the induced norm || · ||, and suppose that U is a subspace of V.

- Suppose that V is a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product ⟨·, ·⟩ and the induced norm || · ||, and suppose that U is a subspace of V.
- We can then define the function  $\text{proj}_U : V \to V$  by setting  $\text{proj}_U(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}_U$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in V$  (where  $\mathbf{x}_U$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\mathbf{x}$  onto U, as in Theorem 6.5.1).

- Suppose that V is a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product ⟨·, ·⟩ and the induced norm || · ||, and suppose that U is a subspace of V.
- We can then define the function  $\operatorname{proj}_U : V \to V$  by setting  $\operatorname{proj}_U(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}_U$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in V$  (where  $\mathbf{x}_U$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\mathbf{x}$  onto U, as in Theorem 6.5.1).
- Clearly,  $proj_U(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{u}$  for all  $\mathbf{u} \in U$ .

- Suppose that V is a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product ⟨·, ·⟩ and the induced norm || · ||, and suppose that U is a subspace of V.
- We can then define the function  $\operatorname{proj}_U : V \to V$  by setting  $\operatorname{proj}_U(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}_U$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in V$  (where  $\mathbf{x}_U$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\mathbf{x}$  onto U, as in Theorem 6.5.1).
- Clearly,  $\operatorname{proj}_U(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{u}$  for all  $\mathbf{u} \in U$ .
- Moreover, we have that  $Im(proj_U) = U$  and  $proj_U[U] = U$ .

- Suppose that V is a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product ⟨·, ·⟩ and the induced norm || · ||, and suppose that U is a subspace of V.
- We can then define the function  $\operatorname{proj}_U : V \to V$  by setting  $\operatorname{proj}_U(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}_U$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in V$  (where  $\mathbf{x}_U$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\mathbf{x}$  onto U, as in Theorem 6.5.1).
- Clearly,  $\operatorname{proj}_U(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{u}$  for all  $\mathbf{u} \in U$ .
- Moreover, we have that  $Im(proj_U) = U$  and  $proj_U[U] = U$ .
- Using the formula from Theorem 6.5.1, we can easily see that the function proj<sub>U</sub> is linear.

- Suppose that V is a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space, equipped with a scalar product ⟨·, ·⟩ and the induced norm || · ||, and suppose that U is a subspace of V.
- We can then define the function  $\operatorname{proj}_U : V \to V$  by setting  $\operatorname{proj}_U(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}_U$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in V$  (where  $\mathbf{x}_U$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\mathbf{x}$  onto U, as in Theorem 6.5.1).
- Clearly,  $\operatorname{proj}_U(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{u}$  for all  $\mathbf{u} \in U$ .
- Moreover, we have that  $Im(proj_U) = U$  and  $proj_U[U] = U$ .
- Using the formula from Theorem 6.5.1, we can easily see that the function proj<sub>U</sub> is linear.
- Indeed, if {u<sub>1</sub>,..., u<sub>k</sub>} is any orthogonal basis of U (such a basis exists by Corollary 6.3.11), then the following hold (next two slides):

• for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V$ , we have that

$$\operatorname{proj}_{U}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}_{i} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_{i}, \mathbf{u}_{i} \rangle} \mathbf{u}_{i}$$

$$\stackrel{(**)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_{i} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}_{i} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_{i}, \mathbf{u}_{i} \rangle} \mathbf{u}_{i}$$

$$= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_{i} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_{i}, \mathbf{u}_{i} \rangle} \mathbf{u}_{i} \right) + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}_{i} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_{i}, \mathbf{u}_{i} \rangle} \mathbf{u}_{i} \right)$$

$$\stackrel{(*)}{=} \operatorname{proj}_{U}(\mathbf{x}) + \operatorname{proj}_{U}(\mathbf{y}),$$

where both instances of (\*) follow from Theorem 6.5.1, and (\*\*) follows from r.2 or c.2;

• for all  $\mathbf{x} \in V$  and scalars  $\alpha$ , we have that

$$\operatorname{proj}_{U}(\alpha \mathbf{x}) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\langle \alpha \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_{i} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_{i}, \mathbf{u}_{i} \rangle} \mathbf{u}_{i}$$
$$\stackrel{(**)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\alpha \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_{i} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_{i}, \mathbf{u}_{i} \rangle} \mathbf{u}_{i}$$
$$= \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_{i} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_{i}, \mathbf{u}_{i} \rangle} \mathbf{u}_{i}$$
$$\stackrel{(*)}{=} \alpha \operatorname{proj}_{U}(\mathbf{x}),$$

where both instances of (\*) follow from Theorem 6.5.1, and (\*\*) follows from r.3 or c.3.