

Linear Algebra 2: Lecture 13

Irena Penev

Summer 2023

1 Orthogonal projection onto a subspace

Theorem 1.1. *Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , equipped with a scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the induced norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let U be a subspace of V , and let $\mathbf{x} \in V$. Then there exists a unique vector $\mathbf{x}_U \in U$ that has the property that*

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_U\| = \min_{\mathbf{u} \in U} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}\|.$$

Moreover, if $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ is an orthogonal basis of U , then this vector \mathbf{x}_U is given by the formula

$$\mathbf{x}_U = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{proj}_{\mathbf{u}_i}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

Remark: Note that if $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ happens to be an **orthonormal** basis of U , then we get that $\langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_1 \rangle = \dots = \langle \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{u}_k \rangle = 1$, and so the formula for \mathbf{x}_U from Theorem 1.1 turns into

$$\mathbf{x}_U = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{proj}_{\mathbf{u}_i}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle \mathbf{u}_i.$$

Moreover, we note that if $\mathbf{x} \in U$, then $\mathbf{x}_U = \mathbf{x}$, since in this case, the expression $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}\|$ (for $\mathbf{u} \in U$) is minimized for $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{x}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Using Corollary 2.5 from Lecture Notes 12, we fix any orthogonal basis $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ of U , and we extend it to an orthogonal basis $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ of V . We note that by Theorem 3.3(a) from Lecture Notes 12, $\{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ is an orthogonal basis of U^\perp .

Set

$$\mathbf{u}^* := \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

(So, \mathbf{u}^* is defined via the formula from the statement of the theorem. The reason we call it \mathbf{u}^* rather than \mathbf{x}_U is because we have not proven the

existence and uniqueness of \mathbf{x}_U yet. However, this is just a minor stylistic matter!) Since \mathbf{u}^* is a linear combination of the vectors $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k$, which form a basis of U , we see that $\mathbf{u}^* \in U$. Now, fix any $\mathbf{u} \in U$. We must show that $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*\| \leq \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}\|$, and that equality holds if and only if $\mathbf{u}^* = \mathbf{u}$. Clearly, this is sufficient to prove the theorem.

Claim. $(\mathbf{u}^* - \mathbf{u}) \perp (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*)$.

Proof of the Claim. Since $\mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{u} \in U$, and since U is a subspace of V , it is clear that $\mathbf{u}^* - \mathbf{u} \in U$. So, it suffices to show that $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^* \in U^\perp$.

By Theorem 2.1 from Lecture Notes 12, we have that

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i,$$

and it follows that

$$\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^* = \sum_{i=k+1}^n \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

So, $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*$ is a linear combination of the vectors $\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$; since those $n - k$ vectors form a basis of U^\perp , it follows that $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^* \in U^\perp$. This proves the Claim. \blacklozenge

Using the Claim, we can apply the Pythagorean theorem to the vectors $\mathbf{u}^* - \mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}\|^2 &= \|(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*) + (\mathbf{u}^* - \mathbf{u})\|^2 \\ &= \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*\|^2 + \|\mathbf{u}^* - \mathbf{u}\|^2 \quad \text{by the Pythagorean theorem} \\ &\geq \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and consequently, we have that $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}^*\| \leq \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}\|$. Moreover, the inequality above is an equality if and only if $\|\mathbf{u}^* - \mathbf{u}\| = 0$, i.e. if and only if $\mathbf{u}^* = \mathbf{u}$. This completes the argument. \square

Terminology and notation: The vector \mathbf{x}_U from Theorem 1.1 is called the *orthogonal projection* of \mathbf{x} onto U .

Corollary 1.2. *Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , equipped with a scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the induced norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let \mathbf{u} be any non-zero vector in V , and set $U := \text{Span}(\mathbf{u})$.¹ Then for every $\mathbf{x} \in V$, we have that*

$$\mathbf{x}_U = \text{proj}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle} \mathbf{u}.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\{\mathbf{u}\}$ is an orthogonal basis of U . So, the result follows immediately from Theorem 1.1. \square

¹So, U is a one-dimensional subspace (i.e. a line) in V .

Corollary 1.3. Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , equipped with a scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the induced norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let U be a subspace of V , and let $\mathbf{x} \in V$. Then

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_U + \mathbf{x}_{U^\perp}.$$

Moreover, this is the unique way of expressing \mathbf{x} as a sum of a vector in U and a vector in U^\perp .²

Proof. By Corollary 2.5 from Lecture Notes 12, U has an orthogonal basis $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$, and moreover, this basis can be extended to an orthogonal basis $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ of V . By Theorem 3.3(a) from Lecture Notes 12, we have that $\{\mathbf{u}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ is an orthogonal basis for U^\perp . Now, by Theorem 1.1, we have that

$$\mathbf{x}_U = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{x}_{U^\perp} = \sum_{i=k+1}^n \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 2.1 from Lecture Notes 12, we have that

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \right) + \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^n \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \right) \\ &= \mathbf{x}_U + \mathbf{x}_{U^\perp}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove the uniqueness of this decomposition. So, suppose that $\mathbf{y} \in U$ and $\mathbf{z} \in U^\perp$ are such that $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}$. We must prove that $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}_U$ and $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}_{U^\perp}$. We have that

$$\mathbf{x}_U + \mathbf{x}_{U^\perp} = \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z},$$

and consequently,

$$\mathbf{x}_U - \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}_{U^\perp}.$$

But $\mathbf{x}_U - \mathbf{y} \in U$ and $\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}_{U^\perp} \in U^\perp$. Since $U \cap U^\perp = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ (by Theorem 3.3(f) from Lecture Notes 12), it follows that $\mathbf{x}_U - \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}_{U^\perp} = \mathbf{0}$, and consequently, $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}_U$ and $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}_{U^\perp}$. This completes the argument. \square

²This means that for all $\mathbf{y} \in U$ and $\mathbf{z} \in U^\perp$, if $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}$, then $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}_U$ and $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}_{U^\perp}$.

For a finite-dimensional vector space V over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , equipped with a scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the induced norm $\|\cdot\|$, and a subspace U of V , we can define the function $\text{Proj}_U : V \rightarrow V$ by $\text{Proj}_U(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}_U$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in V$ (where \mathbf{x}_U is the orthogonal projection of \mathbf{x} onto U , as in Theorem 1.1). Clearly, $\text{Im}(\text{Proj}_U) = U$ and $\text{Proj}_U[U] = U$. Using the formula from Theorem 1.1, we can easily see that the function Proj_U is linear. Indeed, if $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ is any orthogonal basis of U (this exists by Corollary 2.5 from Lecture Notes 12), then the following hold:

- for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proj}_U(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \right) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \right) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \text{Proj}_U(\mathbf{x}) + \text{Proj}_U(\mathbf{y}), \end{aligned}$$

where both instances of $(*)$ follow from Theorem 1.1;

- for all $\mathbf{x} \in V$ and scalars α , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proj}_U(\alpha \mathbf{x}) &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \alpha \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\alpha \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \\ &= \alpha \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle} \mathbf{u}_i \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \alpha \text{Proj}_U(\mathbf{x}), \end{aligned}$$

where both instances of $(*)$ follow from Theorem 1.1.

2 Projection onto subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n

In this section, we assume that \mathbb{R}^n is equipped with the standard scalar product \cdot and the induced norm $\|\cdot\|$. Recall that if we identify 1×1 matrices with scalars, then we have that $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y}$ for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Now, suppose U is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . As we saw above (see the comment following the proof of Corollary 1.3), $\text{Proj}_U : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is linear (with image/range U). Since Proj_U is linear, it has a standard matrix (note that this matrix belongs to $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$). In this section, our goal is to give a formula for the standard matrix of projections onto subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n .

In Lecture Notes 7, we defined the row space of a matrix to be the span of its rows. In this lecture, it will be convenient to modify the definition somewhat, and we define the row space of a matrix A , denoted by $\text{Row}(A)$, to be span of the transposes of its rows, i.e. $\text{Row}(A) := \text{Col}(A^T)$. For example, for the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix},$$

we have that

$$\text{Row}(A) = \text{Span}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

(If you don't like changing the definition, then every time you see $\text{Row}(\square)$, mentally replace it by $\text{Col}(\square^T)$.)

Theorem 2.1. *Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$. Then $\text{Row}(A)^\perp = \text{Nul}(A)$ and $\text{Row}(A) = \text{Nul}(A)^\perp$.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 3.3(e) from Lecture Notes 12, it suffices to show that $\text{Row}(A)^\perp = \text{Nul}(A)$.³ Set $A = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_n^T \end{bmatrix}$, so that $\text{Row}(A) = \text{Span}(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n)$. Now, for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, we have the following sequence of

³Indeed, by Theorem 3.3(e) from Lecture Notes 12, we have that $(\text{Row}(A)^\perp)^\perp = \text{Row}(A)$. So, if $\text{Row}(A)^\perp = \text{Nul}(A)$, then $\text{Nul}(A)^\perp = (\text{Row}(A)^\perp)^\perp = \text{Row}(A)$.

equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x} \in \text{Nul}(A) &\iff A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \\
&\iff \mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x} = 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\
&\iff \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\
&\iff \mathbf{a}_i \perp \mathbf{x} \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\
&\iff \mathbf{x} \in \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n\}^\perp \\
&\stackrel{(*)}{\iff} \mathbf{x} \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n)^\perp \\
&\iff \mathbf{x} \in \text{Row}(A)^\perp,
\end{aligned}$$

where (*) follows from the fact that $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m\}^\perp = \text{Span}(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m)^\perp$ (by Proposition 3.2 from Lecture Notes 12). This proves that $\text{Nul}(A) = \text{Row}(A)^\perp$, and we are done. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$. Then all the following hold:*

- (a) $\text{Nul}(A^T A) = \text{Nul}(A)$;
- (b) $\text{Row}(A^T A) = \text{Row}(A)$;
- (c) $\text{rank}(A^T A) = \text{rank}(A)$.

Proof. We first prove (a). Note that $A^T A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, and that both $\text{Nul}(A)$ and $\text{Nul}(A^T A)$ are subspaces of \mathbb{R}^m . Now, fix any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. We must show that $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Nul}(A^T A)$ if and only if $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Nul}(A)$.

Suppose first that $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Nul}(A)$. Then $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$, and consequently, $A^T A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$. So, $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Nul}(A^T A)$.

Suppose, conversely, that $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Nul}(A^T A)$. Then $A^T A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$, and it follows that $\mathbf{x}^T A^T A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$. But note that $\mathbf{x}^T A^T A\mathbf{x} = (A\mathbf{x})^T (A\mathbf{x}) = (A\mathbf{x}) \cdot (A\mathbf{x}) = \|A\mathbf{x}\|^2$; consequently, $\|A\mathbf{x}\|^2 = 0$. It follows that $\|A\mathbf{x}\| = 0$, and therefore, $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$, i.e. $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Nul}(A)$. This proves (a).

For (b), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Row}(A^T A) &= \text{Nul}(A^T A)^\perp && \text{by Theorem 2.1} \\
&= \text{Nul}(A)^\perp && \text{by (a)} \\
&= \text{Row}(A) && \text{by Theorem 2.1.}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, for (c), we have that

$$\text{rank}(A^T A) = \dim(\text{Row}(A^T A)) = \dim(\text{Row}(A)) = \text{rank}(A).$$

This completes the argument. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ be a matrix of rank m .⁴ Then the matrix $A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T$ is the standard matrix of the orthogonal projection onto $\text{Col}(A)$, that is, for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the orthogonal projection of \mathbf{x} onto $C := \text{Col}(A)$ is given by*

$$\mathbf{x}_C = A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{x}.$$

Proof. Fix $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We must first check that the expression $A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{x}$ is defined and belongs to $C = \text{Col}(A)$. First, note that $A^T A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, and that by Corollary 2.2(a), we have that $\text{rank}(A^T A) = \text{rank}(A) = m$. So, $A^T A$ is invertible,⁵ and we see that $(A^T A)^{-1}$ is defined and belongs to $\mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$. Since $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, $(A^T A)^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, and $A^T \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, we see that $A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$; since $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we see that $A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{x}$ is defined and belongs to \mathbb{R}^n . Meanwhile, $(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{x}$ is a vector in \mathbb{R}^m , and so $A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{x} = \underbrace{A}_{\in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}} \left(\underbrace{(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{x}}_{\in \mathbb{R}^m} \right)$ is a linear combination of the columns of A , i.e. $A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{x} \in \text{Col}(A) = C$.

In view of Corollary 1.3, it is now enough to show that $(\mathbf{x} - A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{x}) \in C^\perp$, for it will then follow that $\mathbf{x}_C = A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{x}$,⁶ which is what we need to show. But note that

$$\begin{aligned} C^\perp &= \text{Col}(A)^\perp \\ &= \text{Row}(A^T)^\perp \\ &= \text{Nul}(A^T) \quad \text{by Theorem 2.1.} \end{aligned}$$

So, it in fact suffices to show that $\mathbf{x} - A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{x} \in \text{Nul}(A^T)$. For this, we compute:

$$A^T \left(\mathbf{x} - A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{x} \right) = A^T \mathbf{x} - \underbrace{A^T A (A^T A)^{-1}}_{=I_m} A^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}.$$

This proves that $\mathbf{x} - A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{x} \in \text{Nul}(A^T)$. \square

⁴In particular, this implies that $m \leq n$, since $\text{rank}(A) \leq \min\{n, m\}$.

⁵We are using Theorem 4.1 from Lecture Notes 7.

⁶Indeed, if we can show that $(\mathbf{x} - A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{x}) \in C^\perp$, then we get that

$$\mathbf{x} = \underbrace{A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{x}}_{\in C} + \underbrace{(\mathbf{x} - A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{x})}_{\in C^\perp},$$

which (by Corollary 1.3) implies that $\mathbf{x}_C = A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{x}$.

Theorem 2.4. Let U be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , and let $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be the standard matrix of Proj_U . Then $I_n - P$ is the standard matrix of Proj_{U^\perp} .

Proof. We observe that for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (I_n - P)\mathbf{x} &= I_n\mathbf{x} - P\mathbf{x} \\ &= \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_U && \text{because } P \text{ is the standard} \\ & && \text{matrix of } \text{Proj}_U \\ &= \mathbf{x}_{U^\perp} && \text{by Corollary 1.3,} \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. \square

Corollary 2.5. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ be a matrix of rank n .⁷ Then the matrix $I_m - A^T(AA^T)^{-1}A$ is the standard matrix of the orthogonal projection onto $N := \text{Nul}(A)$, that is, for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, the orthogonal projection of \mathbf{x} onto N is given by $\mathbf{x}_N = (I_m - A^T(AA^T)^{-1}A)\mathbf{x}$.

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Nul}(A) &= \text{Row}(A)^\perp && \text{by Theorem 2.1} \\ &= \text{Col}(A^T)^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

Note further that $A^T \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $\text{rank}(A^T) = \text{rank}(A) = n$.⁸ So, by Theorem 2.3, the standard matrix of the orthogonal projection onto $\text{Col}(A^T)$ is $A^T(AA^T)^{-1}A$. By Theorem 2.4, the standard matrix of orthogonal projection onto $\text{Col}(A^T)^\perp = \text{Nul}(A)$ is $I_m - A^T(AA^T)^{-1}A$. This completes the argument. \square

3 The method of least squares

In some real-world applications, we may be interested in finding the best approximate solution to a matrix-vector equation $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ that may possibly be inconsistent. More formally, suppose we are given a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^n , a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and a vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We would then like to find a vector \mathbf{x} for which

$$\|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|$$

is as small as possible. If $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is consistent, then any solution of that equation will minimize $\|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|$. However, what if the equation $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is inconsistent? Then the answer will obviously depend on which norm we are using. In the remainder of this section, we will work only with the norm

⁷In particular, this implies that $n \leq m$, since $\text{rank}(A) \leq \min\{n, m\}$.

⁸We are using Corollary 4.2 from Lecture Notes 7.

induced by the standard scalar product in \mathbb{R}^n , i.e. the standard Pythagorean norm. Recall that this is the norm $\|\cdot\|$ given by

$$\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}} = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2}$$

for all vectors $\mathbf{x} = [x_1 \ \dots \ x_n]^T$ in \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the matrix-vector equation*

$$A^T A \mathbf{x} = A^T \mathbf{b}$$

is consistent, and moreover, its solution set is precisely the set of vectors \mathbf{x} in \mathbb{R}^m that minimize the expression

$$\|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|.$$

Proof. We are looking for vectors $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ that minimize the expression $\|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|$. Our goal is to show that the vectors we are looking for are precisely those that satisfy $A^T A \mathbf{x} = A^T \mathbf{b}$.

Note that $C := \text{Col}(A) = \{A\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$. So, we are in fact looking for the solutions \mathbf{x} of the equation $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}_C$, because by the definition of \mathbf{b}_C , such \mathbf{x} 's are precisely the ones for which $\|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|$ is minimized. Moreover, by Corollary 1.3, $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}_C + \mathbf{b}_{C^\perp}$ is the only way to decompose \mathbf{b} as a sum of a vector in C and a vector in C^\perp . So, we are looking for those \mathbf{x} 's for which $\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x} \in C^\perp$. But note that

$$C^\perp = \text{Col}(A)^\perp = \text{Row}(A^T)^\perp \stackrel{(*)}{=} \text{Nul}(A^T),$$

where (*) follows from Theorem 2.1. So, we in fact looking for vectors \mathbf{x} for which $\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x} \in \text{Nul}(A^T)$, i.e. those that satisfy $A^T(\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$, which is obviously equivalent to $A^T A \mathbf{x} = A^T \mathbf{b}$.

It remains to show that the equation $A^T A \mathbf{x} = A^T \mathbf{b}$ is consistent. By our argument above, a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ satisfies $A^T A \mathbf{x} = A^T \mathbf{b}$ if and only if it satisfies the equation $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}_C$. Since the latter equation is consistent (this follows from the definition of C and the existence of \mathbf{b}_C), so is the former. \square

Terminology: Suppose we are given a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and a vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Vectors $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ that minimize the expression $\|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|$ are called the *least-squares solutions* of the equation $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ (such solutions are often denoted by $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$), whereas the number

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m} \|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|$$

is called the *least squares error* for the equation $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$. By Theorem 3.1, the equation $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ has at least one least-squares solution $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$, and consequently, the least-squares error is defined.

Remark: Obviously, if $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is consistent, then the least-squares solutions of $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ are precisely the solutions of the equation $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ itself. This is because if $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is consistent, then the solutions of that equation minimize the expression $\|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|$ (indeed, $\|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\| = 0$ if and only if $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$).

Example 3.2. *Let*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ -4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

with entries understood to be in \mathbb{R} . Find all least-squares solutions $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ of $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, as well as the least-squares error. Is the equation $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ consistent?

Solution. We apply Theorem 3.1. So, we need to find the solutions of the equation $A^T A \hat{\mathbf{x}} = A^T \mathbf{b}$. We first compute

$$A^T A = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 6 \\ 6 & 42 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A^T \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ -6 \end{bmatrix},$$

and then we compute

$$\text{RREF}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} A^T A & A^T \mathbf{b} \end{array} \right]\right) = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & 4/3 \\ 0 & 1 & -1/3 \end{array} \right].$$

It follows that

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 4/3 \\ -1/3 \end{bmatrix}$$

is the unique solution of the matrix-vector equation $A^T A \hat{\mathbf{x}} = A^T \mathbf{b}$, and consequently, the unique least-squares solution of the matrix-vector equation $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$.

The least-squares error of $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\|A\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{b}\| &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4/3 \\ -1/3 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ -4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -3 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\ &= \sqrt{(-1)^2 + (-3)^2 + 3^2 + (-1)^2} \\ &= 2\sqrt{5}.\end{aligned}$$

Since the least-squares error of the equation $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is strictly positive, we see that the equation is inconsistent. \square

Remark: In the example above, the equation $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ had a unique least-squares solution $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$, and we obtained the least-squares error of $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ by computing $\|A\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{b}\|$. But what if $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ had more than one least-squares solution? In that case, we would choose one least squares solution $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ (any one will do), and compute $\|A\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{b}\|$. By the definition of a least-squares solution, the value of $\|A\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{b}\|$ is the same regardless of which least-squares solution $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ we choose.