

# NDMI011: Combinatorics and Graph Theory 1

## Lecture #13

## Linear codes

Irena Penev

### 1 Some Linear Algebra preliminaries

In what follows, for a field  $\mathbb{F}$  and a positive integer  $n$ , we denote by  $\mathbb{F}^n$  the set of all row vectors of length  $n$  whose entries are all in  $\mathbb{F}$ . For vectors  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  in  $\mathbb{F}^n$ , we define  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$ , where the summation and multiplication denote the operations from the field  $\mathbb{F}$ ; note that  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \in \mathbb{F}$ . If  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0$ , then  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  are said to be *orthogonal*.

Instead of multiplying matrices by column vectors on the right ( $A\mathbf{x}$ ), we will multiply matrices by row vectors on the left ( $\mathbf{x}A$ ). If  $A$  is an  $n \times m$  matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{F}$ , and  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ ,<sup>1</sup> then we can think of  $\mathbf{x}$  as a  $1 \times n$  matrix, and we can compute  $\mathbf{x}A$  according to the usual rules of matrix multiplication.<sup>2</sup>

Note that if  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{r}_n \end{bmatrix}$  (i.e.  $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n$  are the rows of  $A$ , from top to bottom), then  $\mathbf{x}A = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathbf{r}_i$ . Furthermore, if  $\mathbf{e}_i$  is the  $i$ -th standard basis vector of  $\mathbb{F}^n$ , i.e. the row vector whose  $i$ -th entry is 1, and all of whose other entries are 0, then  $\mathbf{e}_i A$  is equal to the  $i$ -th row of  $A$ .

With these adjustments, all familiar theorems of Linear Algebra still hold, but with rows and columns reversed. For instance, Gaussian elimination is performed on columns, not rows.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>So,  $A$  has  $n$  rows and  $m$  columns, and  $\mathbf{x}$  is a row vector of length  $n$ .

<sup>2</sup>Indeed, we multiply a  $1 \times n$  matrix by an  $n \times m$  matrix, and we obtain a  $1 \times m$  matrix, i.e. a row vector of length  $m$ .

<sup>3</sup>Alternatively, given a matrix  $A$ , we can perform Gaussian elimination as follows: we first form the transpose  $A^T$ , then we perform the familiar Gaussian elimination on rows to obtain a matrix  $B$ , and then we take the transpose of  $B$ . The result is the same as if we performed Gaussian elimination on the columns of  $A$  directly.

For a field  $\mathbb{F}$  and a subspace  $C$  of  $\mathbb{F}^n$ , we define  $C^\perp = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}^n \mid \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in C\}$ . It is easy to check that  $C^\perp$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}^n$ .<sup>4</sup>

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field, and let  $C$  be a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}^n$ . Then  $\dim C + \dim C^\perp = n$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $k = \dim C$ ; we must show that  $\dim C^\perp = n - k$ . If  $k = 0$ , then  $C = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  and  $C^\perp = \mathbb{F}^n$ , and it follows that  $\dim C^\perp = n = n - k$ . From now on, we assume that  $k \geq 1$ . Let  $\{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_k\}$  be some basis for  $C$ , and

let  $G = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{c}_k \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $C^\perp = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}^n \mid \mathbf{y}G^T = \mathbf{0}\} = \text{Ker}(G^T)$ .<sup>5</sup> By the

Rank-nullity theorem, we have that  $\text{rank}(G^T) + \dim \text{Ker}(G^T) = n$ . But  $\text{rank}(G^T) = \text{rank}(G) = k$  (because  $G$  has  $k$  rows, and they are linearly independent), and as we saw  $C^\perp = \text{Ker}(G^T)$ . It follows that  $k + \dim C^\perp = n$ , i.e.  $\dim C^\perp = n - k$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field, and let  $C$  be a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}^n$ . Then  $(C^\perp)^\perp = C$ .*

*Proof.* Obviously,  $C \subseteq (C^\perp)^\perp$ ;<sup>6</sup> since  $C$  and  $(C^\perp)^\perp$  are both subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}^n$ , it follows that  $C$  is a subspace of  $(C^\perp)^\perp$ . On the other hand, by Theorem 1.1, we have that

$$\dim(C^\perp)^\perp = n - \dim C^\perp = n - (n - \dim C) = \dim C,$$

and we deduce that  $C = (C^\perp)^\perp$ .  $\square$

## 2 Linear codes

A *linear code* is a subspace  $C$  of a vector space  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , where  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a finite field of size  $q$  (here,  $q$  is a prime power).<sup>7</sup> Note that every linear code contains the zero vector.

Notationally, if a linear code  $C$  is an  $(n, k, d)_q$ -code, then we write that  $C$  is an  $[n, k, d]_q$ -code (here, square brackets indicate that  $C$  is a linear code). Clearly, an  $[n, k, d]_q$ -code is a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ .<sup>8</sup> Furthermore, as our next proposition shows, the (vector space) dimension of an  $[n, k, d]_q$ -code is  $k$ .

<sup>4</sup>Check this!

<sup>5</sup> $\text{Ker}(G^T) = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}^n \mid \mathbf{y}G^T = \mathbf{0}\}$  is simply the definition of  $\text{Ker}(G^T)$ .

<sup>6</sup>Indeed, every vector in  $C$  is orthogonal to every vector in  $C^\perp$ . On the other hand,  $(C^\perp)^\perp$  is the set of all vectors in  $\mathbb{F}$  that are orthogonal to every vector in  $C^\perp$ . It follows that  $C \subseteq (C^\perp)^\perp$ .

<sup>7</sup>So, elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  are row vectors of length  $n$ , all of whose entries are in the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

<sup>8</sup>This is because the alphabet over which  $C$  is a code must be of size  $q$ , and since  $C$  is a linear code, it is a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}^n$ , where  $\mathbb{F}$  is some finite field. So,  $\mathbb{F}$  is a field of size  $q$ , and so it is equal (technically, isomorphic) to  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (because all finite fields of the same size are isomorphic).

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $C$  be an  $[n, k, d]_q$ -code. Then  $\dim C = k$ , i.e. the dimension of  $C$  as a vector space is  $k$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $C$  is an  $[n, k, d]_q$ -code, we know that  $C$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ ; set  $\ell = \dim C$ . We must show that  $\ell = k$ . Let  $\{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_\ell\}$  be a basis for  $C$ . Then  $C$  is the set of all vectors of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^\ell \alpha_i \mathbf{c}_i$ , where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . There are  $q$  choices for each  $\alpha_i$ ,<sup>9</sup> and so there are  $q^\ell$  choices for the  $\ell$ -tuple  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell)$ . On the other hand, since  $\{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_\ell\}$  is linearly independent (because it is a basis), we know that  $\sum_{i=1}^\ell \alpha_i \mathbf{c}_i = \sum_{i=1}^\ell \beta_i \mathbf{c}_i$  (where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_\ell \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ) if and only if  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell) = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\ell)$ . It follows that  $|C| = q^\ell$ , and consequently,  $\ell = \log_q |C|$ . Since  $k = \log_q |C|$  (by definition), it follows that  $\ell = k$ , which is what we needed to show.  $\square$

Now, suppose that  $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$  be an  $[n, k, d]_q$ -code, with  $0 < k < n$ . By Proposition 2.1, we have that  $\dim C = k$ , and so  $C$  is a non-null proper subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ . Let  $G$  be any matrix whose rows form a basis for  $C$  (in particular,  $G \in \mathbb{F}_q^{k \times n}$ ); then  $G$  is called the *generator matrix* of the linear code  $C$ . Note that this implies that  $C^\perp = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \mathbf{y}G^T = \mathbf{0}\}$ . Next, suppose  $H$  is any matrix such that the rows of  $H^T$  form a basis for  $C^\perp$  (so,  $H^T$  is a generator matrix for  $C^\perp$ ). The matrix  $H$  is called a *parity check matrix* for  $C$ , and by Proposition 1.2, it satisfies  $C = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \mathbf{x}H = \mathbf{0}\}$ ,<sup>10</sup> i.e.  $C = \text{Ker}(H)$ . Note that the parity check matrix  $H$  can be used to check whether a vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$  is a codeword of  $C$ . Indeed, if  $\mathbf{x}H = \mathbf{0}$ , then  $\mathbf{x} \in C$ , and otherwise,  $\mathbf{x} \notin C$ . Note that, given a generator matrix for  $C$ , one can easily compute a parity check matrix for  $C$ , and vice versa.

Given a vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ , the *Hamming weight* of  $\mathbf{x}$ , denoted by  $\text{wt}(\mathbf{x})$ , is the number of non-zero coordinates in  $\mathbf{x}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $C \subsetneq \mathbb{F}_q^n$  be an  $[n, k, d]_q$ -code, with  $0 < k < n$ . Then  $d = \min\{\text{wt}(\mathbf{x}) \mid \mathbf{x} \in C, \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}\}$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $\mathbf{x} \in C \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  with minimum Hamming weight. We must show that  $d = \text{wt}(\mathbf{x})$ .

First, since  $C$  is a linear code, we know that  $\mathbf{0} \in C$ , and so (since  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{0}$  are distinct codewords in  $C$ ) we have that  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}) \geq d$ . But obviously,  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}) = \text{wt}(\mathbf{x})$ , and it follows that  $\text{wt}(\mathbf{x}) \geq d$ .

It remains to show that  $\text{wt}(\mathbf{x}) \leq d$ . Fix distinct  $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in C$  such that  $d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = d$ .<sup>11</sup> Since  $C$  is a vector space, we know that  $\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z} \in C$ , and so by the choice of  $\mathbf{x}$ , we have that  $\text{wt}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \text{wt}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z})$ .<sup>12</sup> But now

$$d = d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = \text{wt}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}) \geq \text{wt}(\mathbf{x}),$$

<sup>9</sup>This is because  $|\mathbb{F}_q| = q$ .

<sup>10</sup>Let us check this. Clearly,  $(C^\perp)^\perp = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \mathbf{x}(H^T)^T = \mathbf{0}\} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \mathbf{x}H = \mathbf{0}\}$ . Since  $(C^\perp)^\perp = C$  (by Proposition 1.2), it follows that  $C = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \mathbf{x}H = \mathbf{0}\}$ .

<sup>11</sup>The minimum distance between codewords in  $C$  is  $d$ . So, there exists distinct vectors in  $C$  (say,  $\mathbf{y}$  and  $\mathbf{z}$ ) whose distance is precisely  $d$ .

<sup>12</sup>We are also using the fact that  $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{z}$ , and so  $\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}$ .

which is what we needed to show.  $\square$

### 3 Hamming codes

Fix an integer  $\ell \geq 2$ , and set  $n = 2^\ell - 1$ ,  $k = 2^\ell - \ell - 1$ , and  $d = 3$ . Our goal in this section is to construct an  $[n, k, d]_2$ -code, called a *Hamming code*.<sup>13</sup> We do this by constructing its parity check matrix  $H$ ; then the code in question will simply be the subspace  $C = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid \mathbf{x}H = \mathbf{0}\}$ .

Note that the binary representation of the integer  $n = 2^\ell - 1$  is  $\underbrace{1 \dots 1}_\ell$ .

More generally, the binary representation of any integer in  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  has at most  $\ell$  digits. Now, for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , let  $\mathbf{h}_i \in \mathbb{F}_2^\ell$  be the vector giving the binary representation of  $i$ , with zeros added to the front if necessary (so that the length of the representation is  $\ell$ ).<sup>14</sup> Let

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{h}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{h}_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that  $H \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n \times \ell}$ . We now define the code  $C$  by setting

$$C = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid \mathbf{x}H = \mathbf{0}\}.$$

Let us show that  $C$  is an  $[n, k, d]_2$ -code. Obviously,  $C$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ .<sup>15</sup> Let us show that  $\dim C = k$ .<sup>16</sup> As usual, for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ , let  $\mathbf{e}_i^\ell$  be the vector in  $\mathbb{F}_2^\ell$  whose  $i$ -th coordinate is 1, and all of whose other coordinates are 0. Then each of  $\mathbf{e}_1^\ell, \dots, \mathbf{e}_\ell^\ell$  is a row of  $H$ , and furthermore, the set  $\{\mathbf{e}_1^\ell, \dots, \mathbf{e}_\ell^\ell\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{F}_2^\ell$ ; so,  $\text{rank}(H) = \ell$ . The Rank-nullity theorem guarantees that  $\text{rank}(H) + \dim \text{Ker}(H) = n$ , and we deduce that  $\dim \text{Ker}(H) = n - \ell = k$ . But  $C = \text{Ker}(H)$ , and so  $\dim C = k$ .

It remains to show that the minimum distance of words in  $C$  is  $d = 3$ . We will use Proposition 2.2. As usual, for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , let  $\mathbf{e}_i^n$  be the vector in  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  whose  $i$ -th coordinate is 1, and all of whose other coordinates are 0. Note that the vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  of Hamming weight 1 are precisely the vectors  $\mathbf{e}_1^n, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n^n$ . But note that, for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we have that  $\mathbf{e}_i^n H = \mathbf{h}_i \neq \mathbf{0}$ , and so  $\mathbf{e}_i^n \notin C$ . Next, vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  of Hamming weight 2 are precisely the

<sup>13</sup>It is also possible to construct “ $q$ -ary Hamming codes,” which are over the (more general) field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . For the sake of simplicity, though, we consider only binary Hamming codes, i.e. those over the field  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .

<sup>14</sup>For example, if  $\ell = 2$ , then  $n = 3$ , and we have that  $\mathbf{h}_1 = (0, 1)$ ,  $\mathbf{h}_2 = (1, 0)$ , and  $\mathbf{h}_3 = (1, 1)$ .

<sup>15</sup>So,  $C$  is a linear code, and furthermore, the first coordinate (i.e. the  $n$ -part) and the subscript (i.e. 2) of  $[n, k, d]_2$  are correct.

<sup>16</sup>In view of Proposition 2.1, this will guarantee that second coordinate (i.e. the  $k$ -part) of  $[n, k, d]_2$  is correct.

vectors of the form  $\mathbf{e}_i^n + \mathbf{e}_j^n$ , with  $i \neq j$ . Now, for distinct  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we have that  $(\mathbf{e}_i^n + \mathbf{e}_j^n)H = \mathbf{h}_i + \mathbf{h}_j$ ; since  $\mathbf{h}_i \neq \mathbf{h}_j$  (and our field is  $\mathbb{F}_2$ ), we have that  $\mathbf{h}_i + \mathbf{h}_j \neq \mathbf{0}$ , and it follows that  $\mathbf{e}_i^n + \mathbf{e}_j^n \notin C$ . We have now shown that  $C$  does not contain any non-zero vectors of Hamming weight at most two. On the other hand,  $C$  does contain a vector of Hamming weight at most three, e.g. the vector  $\mathbf{e}_1^n + \mathbf{e}_2^n + \mathbf{e}_3^n$ .<sup>17</sup> So,  $\min\{\text{wt}(\mathbf{x}) \mid \mathbf{x} \in C, \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}\} = 3 = d$ , and so by Proposition 2.2, we see that the minimum distance in  $C$  is  $d$ .

We have now shown that  $C$  is indeed an  $[n, k, d]_2$ -code, that is,  $C$  is a  $[2^\ell - 1, 2^\ell - \ell - 1, 3]_2$ -code. The code that we just constructed is called a *Hamming code*.

Finally, let us explain how error checking works for the Hamming code  $C$  that we just constructed. Suppose  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ . Then by construction,  $\mathbf{w} \in C$  if and only if  $\mathbf{w}H = \mathbf{0}$ . Suppose now that  $\mathbf{w}$  differs in exactly one coordinate from some codeword in  $C$ , that is, that  $\mathbf{w}$  can be obtained from a codeword in  $C$  by introducing one error (i.e. by changing exactly one 1 into 0, or vice versa, in some codeword of  $C$ ). This means that there exist some  $\mathbf{x} \in C$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{e}_i^n$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}H &= (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{e}_i^n)H \\ &= \underbrace{\mathbf{x}H}_{=\mathbf{0}} + \underbrace{\mathbf{e}_i^n H}_{=\mathbf{h}_i} \\ &= \mathbf{h}_i. \end{aligned}$$

But  $\mathbf{h}_i$  is simply the integer  $i$  written in binary code! This means that if  $\mathbf{w}$  was obtained from a codeword in  $C$  by introducing exactly one error, then the coordinate of that error is the integer whose binary representation is given by the vector  $\mathbf{w}H$ ; we can correct the error by altering the entry (from 1 to 0, or vice versa) in that one coordinate of  $\mathbf{w}$ .

---

<sup>17</sup>Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{e}_1^n + \mathbf{e}_2^n + \mathbf{e}_3^n)H &= \mathbf{h}_1 + \mathbf{h}_2 + \mathbf{h}_3 \\ &= \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0, 0, 1)}_{n-2} + \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0, 0, 1)}_{n-2} + \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0, 1, 1)}_{n-2} \\ &= \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\mathbf{e}_1^n + \mathbf{e}_2^n + \mathbf{e}_3^n \in C$ .