## On the clique-width of $(4K_1, C_4, C_5, C_7)$ -free graphs

Irena Penev<sup>\*</sup>

July 2, 2020

## Abstract

We prove that  $(4K_1, C_4, C_5, C_7)$ -free graphs that are not chordal have unbounded clique-width. This disproves a conjecture from [D.J. Fraser, A.M. Hamel, C.T. Hoàng, K. Holmes, T.P. LaMantia, *Characterizations of*  $(4K_1, C_4, C_5)$ -free graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics, 231:166–174, 2017].

Brandstädt *et al.* [1] constructed a family of  $4K_1$ -free chordal graphs of unbounded cliquewidth. In the present note, we slightly modify their construction to show that  $(4K_1, C_4, C_5, C_7)$ free graphs that are not chordal have unbounded clique-width (see Theorem 4). This disproves a conjecture due to Fraser *et al.* [3].

We begin with a few definitions. In what follows, all graphs are finite, simple, and nonnull. For a graph H, a graph G is said to be H-free if no induced subgraph of G is isomorphic to H. For a family of graphs  $\mathcal{H}$ , G is said to be  $\mathcal{H}$ -free if G is H-free for all  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ . As usual,  $nK_1$   $(n \geq 1)$  is the edgeless graph on n vertices, and  $C_n$   $(n \geq 3)$  is the cycle on n vertices. A hole is an induced cycle of length at least four; a graph is chordal if it contains no holes. The vertex set of a graph G is denoted by V(G), and the complement of G is denoted by  $\overline{G}$ . For a nonempty set  $X \subseteq V(G)$ , we denote by G[X] the subgraph of G induced by X. For a set  $X \subsetneq V(G)$ ,  $G \setminus X$  is the subgraph of G obtained by deleting all vertices in X, *i.e.*  $G \setminus X = G[V(G) \setminus X]$ . A clique in G is a (possibly empty) set of pairwise adjacent vertices of G. For a vertex x of G,  $N_G(x)$  the set of all neighbors of x in G, and  $N_G[x] = \{x\} \cup N_G(x)$ ; x is simplicial in G if  $N_G(x)$  is a clique in G. It is well known (see [4]) that every chordal graph contains a simplicial vertex.

Here, we are interested in the class of  $(4K_1, C_4, C_5, C_7)$ -free graphs. Since holes of length at least eight contain an induced  $4K_1$ , we see that  $(4K_1, C_4, C_5, C_7)$ -free graphs are precisely the  $4K_1$ -free graphs in which all holes are of length six.

The *clique-width* of a graph G, denoted by cwd(G), is the minimum number of labels needed to construct G using the following four operations:

- 1. creation of a new vertex v with label i;
- 2. disjoint union of two labeled graphs;
- 3. joining by an edge every vertex labeled i to every vertex labeled j;
- 4. renaming label i to label j.

<sup>\*</sup>Computer Science Institute of Charles University (IÚUK), Prague, Czech Republic. Email: ipenev@iuuk.mff.cuni.cz. Partially supported by project 17-04611S (Ramsey-like aspects of graph coloring) of the Czech Science Foundation, and by Charles University project UNCE/SCI/004.

It is clear that if H is an induced subgraph of G, then  $cwd(H) \leq cwd(G)$ . Further, the following is Theorem 4.1 from [2].

**Theorem 1.** [2] For every graph G, we have  $cwd(\overline{G}) \leq 2cwd(G)$ .

Brandstädt *et al.* [1] showed that  $4K_1$ -free chordal graphs have unbounded clique-width. On the other hand, Fraser *et al.* [3] showed that every  $(4K_1, C_4, C_5, C_7)$ -free graph either contains a simplicial vertex or has bounded clique-width, and furthermore, they conjectured the following.

**Conjecture 2.** [3] Let G be a  $(4K_1, C_4, C_5, C_7)$ -free graph. Then G is chordal or has bounded clique-width.

In this note, we adapt the construction from [1] to disprove Conjecture 2 (see Theorem 4).

We first need a few more definitions. Given a graph G and disjoint sets  $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$ , we say that X is *complete* (resp. *anticomplete*) to Y if every vertex in X is adjacent (resp. non-adjacent) to every vertex in Y. Given distinct vertices  $x, y \in V(G)$ , we say that x dominates y in G if  $N_G[y] \subseteq N_G[x]$ . In particular, if one vertex dominates another, then the two vertices are adjacent. A *dominating vertex* in G is a vertex that dominates all other vertices in G, or equivalently, a vertex that is adjacent to all other vertices of G.

Brandstädt *et al.* [1] constructed a family  $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of graphs as follows. For an integer  $n \ge 1$ ,  $G_n$  is a graph on  $n^2 + 2n$  vertices such that  $V(G_n)$  can be partitioned into three sets, call them  $A_n = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ ,  $B_n = \{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$ , and  $C_n = \{c_{i,j} \mid 1 \le i, j \le n\}$ , with adjacency as follows:

- $A_n, B_n, C_n$  are stable sets;
- $A_n$  is complete to  $B_n$ ;
- for all  $i, i', j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$   $a_i$  is adjacent to  $c_{i', j}$  if and only if  $i' \leq i$ ;
- for all  $i, j, j' \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ ,  $b_j$  is adjacent to  $c_{i,j'}$  if and only if  $j' \leq j$ .

The following is Lemma 12 from [1].

**Theorem 3.** [1] For all integers  $n \ge 1$ ,  $cwd(G_n) \ge n$ .

We remark that  $\{\overline{G_n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is the family of  $4K_1$ -free chordal graphs of unbounded cliquewidth from [1]. Indeed, by by Observation 1 from [1], graphs in this family are  $4K_1$ -free and chordal, and by Theorems 1 and 3, the family has unbounded clique-width.

We now construct a family  $\{H_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of graphs by "attaching" a  $C_6$  to the graphs  $\overline{G_n}$   $(n \ge 1)$  in a convenient way, *i.e.* in a way that does not introduce any of our four forbidden induced subgraphs. Formally, for an integer  $n \ge 1$ ,  $H_n$  is the graph whose vertex set can be partitioned into four sets, call them  $A_n = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ ,  $B_n = \{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$ ,  $C_n = \{c_{i,j} \mid 1 \le i, j \le n\}$ , and  $X = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}$ , with adjacency as follows:

- $A_n, B_n, C_n$  are cliques;
- $A_n$  is anticomplete to  $B_n$ ;
- for all  $i, i', j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$   $a_i$  is adjacent to  $c_{i',j}$  if and only if  $i' \ge i+1$ ;
- for all  $i, j, j' \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ ,  $b_j$  is adjacent to  $c_{i,j'}$  if and only if  $j' \ge j + 1$ ;
- $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_0$  is a hole of length six;

- $A_n$  is complete to  $\{x_0, x_1\}$  and anticomplete to  $\{x_2, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ ;
- $B_n$  is complete to  $\{x_2, x_3\}$  and anticomplete to  $\{x_4, x_5, x_0, x_1\}$ ;
- $C_n$  is complete to X.

Note that  $H_n \setminus X = \overline{G_n}$ . The following theorem shows that Conjecture 2 is false.

**Theorem 4.** For all integers  $n \ge 1$ ,  $H_n$  is  $(4K_1, C_4, C_5, C_7)$ -free and not chordal, and it satisfies  $cwd(H_n) \ge \frac{n}{2}$ .

*Proof.* Fix an integer  $n \ge 1$ , and let  $A_n$ ,  $B_n$ ,  $C_n$ , and X be as in the definition of  $H_n$ . Then  $H_n \setminus X = \overline{G_n}$ , and so Theorems 1 and 3 imply that  $\operatorname{cwd}(H_n) \ge \frac{n}{2}$ . Further, by construction,  $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_0$  is a hole of length six in  $H_n$ , and so  $H_n$  is not chordal.

Since  $(A_n \cup \{x_0, x_1\}, B_n \cup \{x_2, x_3\}, C_n \cup \{x_4, x_5\})$  is a partition of  $V(H_n)$  into three cliques,  $H_n$  is  $4K_1$ -free. It remains to show that  $H_n$  is  $(C_4, C_5, C_7)$ -free. First, note that for any two distinct vertices in  $A_n$ , one of the two vertices dominates the other in  $H_n$ . Since no vertex in a hole dominates any other vertex in that hole, we see that a hole in  $H_n$  can contain at most one vertex of  $A_n$ . But for all  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}, N_{H_n}(a_i) \setminus A_n \subseteq C_n \cup \{x_0, x_1\}$ , and  $C_n \cup \{x_0, x_1\}$  is a clique in  $H_n$ . Since holes contain no simplicial vertices, we see that no hole in  $H_n$  intersects  $A_n$ . Similarly, no hole in  $H_n$  intersects  $B_n$ . Finally, every vertex in  $C_n$  is dominating in  $H_n \setminus (A_n \cup B_n)$ ; since holes contain no dominating vertices, it follows that no hole in  $H_n$  intersects  $C_n$ . Thus, every hole in  $H_n$  is in fact a hole in  $H_n[X]$ , and we deduce that  $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_0$  is the only hole in  $H_n$ . Since this hole is of length six, we see that  $H_n$  is  $(C_4, C_5, C_7)$ -free.

## References

- A. Brandstädt, J. Engelfriet, H.O.Le, V.V. Lozin, Clique-width for 4-vertex forbidden subgraphs, Theory of Computing Systems 39:561–590, 2006.
- [2] B. Courcelle, S. Olariu, Upper bounds to the clique width of graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics, 101:77–114, 2000.
- [3] D.J. Fraser, A.M. Hamel, C.T. Hoàng, K. Holmes, T.P. LaMantia, *Characterizations of*  $(4K_1, C_4, C_5)$ -free graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics, 231:166–174, 2017.
- [4] D.R. Fulkerson, O.A. Gross, *Incidence matrices and interval graphs*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 15:835–855, 1965.